

# Medical Martial Law

James Corbett

Apr 2022



---

## *Index*

---

<b>1</b>	<b>2009: The Swine Flu Phenomenon</b>	<b>1</b>
	Real News . . . . .	1
	1918: The Spanish flu pandemic . . . . .	10
	1976: The Swine flu outbreak . . . . .	15
	2005: The Avian Flu threat . . . . .	20
	Medical Martial Law 2009 . . . . .	28
<b>2</b>	<b>2014: The Ebola Effect</b>	<b>39</b>
	History . . . . .	41
	Biopharmaceuticals . . . . .	43
	Geopolitics . . . . .	46
	Medical Martial Law 2014 . . . . .	49
<b>3</b>	<b>2020: The Coronavirus Freakout</b>	<b>81</b>
	Extraordinary powers . . . . .	83
	Lock Step . . . . .	85
	Wartime footing . . . . .	91
	Event201 . . . . .	98
	Medical martial law 2020 . . . . .	105



## Chapter 1

---

### 2009: *The Swine Flu Phenomenon*

---

Welcome my friends, welcome to another edition of the Corbett Report. I am your host, James Corbett, podcasting to you, as always, from the sunny climes of Western Japan, on this 17th of May, 2009.

[...]

**Real News** Let's get into today's Real News.

Today's first Real News story comes from infowars.com, may 16th 2009: *Bilderberg Wants Global Department Of Health, Global Treasury*<sup>1</sup>.

Veteran investigative journalist Jim Tucker has uncovered Bilderberg's 2009 agenda, which includes the plan for a global department of health, a global treasury and a shortened depression rather than a longer economic downturn.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090519100356/http://www.infowars.com/bilderberg-wants-global-department-of-health-global-treasury/>

Appearing on The Alex Jones Show, Tucker said that former Swedish Prime Minister and regular Bilderberg attendee Carl Bildt, “Made a speech advocating turning the World Health Organization into a world department of health, advocating turning the IMF into a world department of treasury, both of course under the auspices of the United Nations.”

Tucker noted that such moves would constitute giant steps toward the world government that Bilderberg has set about to achieve but has been frustrated in finalizing over the past 10 years.

Tucker said that Bilderberg are keen on stressing the problems caused by the economic crisis, as well as the threat of a disease pandemic, as a means of justifying centralization of power.

According to Tucker, Bildt also discussed global warming in the context of a global tax on carbon emissions, which has long been a part of Bilderberg’s agenda.

The global tax which will be paid directly to the UN will be introduced gradually, first of all as a barely noticeable tax at the gas pump, before being hiked up once it is in place, said Tucker.

Regarding the crucial Lisbon Treaty, which was struck down after Irish voters said no to its passage last year, Tucker said that Bilderberg were planning to privately send representatives to Ireland to talk to political leaders in an effort to push the treaty through. The EU requires all member states

to ratify the treaty before it can be passed and Irish voters will again be asked to vote in a referendum later this year despite having already rejected the treaty last year.

Tucker said that a key component of this year's Bilderberg Group meeting was an effort to get President Obama to, "Slip through ratification of the International Criminal Court treaty," by forwarding it to the Senate to be voted on.

Our second Real News story today comes from NewScientist.com, 15th of May, 2009: *David Attenborough: Our planet is overcrowded*<sup>2</sup>.

The latest venture for this veteran of wildlife documentaries is as controversial as anything he's done in his long career. He has become a patron of the Optimum Population Trust<sup>3</sup>, a think-tank on population growth and environment, with its scary website showing the global population as it grows<sup>4</sup>.

For the past 20 years I've never had any doubt that the source of the Earth's ills is overpopulation. I can't go on saying this sort of thing and then fail to put the head above the peripet.

---

<sup>2</sup><https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg20227081-000-david-attenborough-our-planet-is-overcrowded>

<sup>3</sup><http://populationmatters.org>

<sup>4</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090308020317/http://www.optimumpopulation.org/>

There are nearly 3 times as many people in the planet as when Attenborough started making TV programs in the 1950s. A fact that has convinced him that if we don't find a solution to our "population problems", nature will: "Other horrible factors will come along and fix it, like mass starvation." Trying to pin him down about the specifics of what to do, however, proves tricky. He says it involves persuading people that their lives and the lives of their children would be better if they didn't exceed a certain number of births per family. And that a dramatic drop in birthrate rests on providing universal suffrage, education, particularly for women, and decent standards of living for all.

It's a daunting task, but the first step - he argues - is to acknowledge that population is a problem. But isn't the problem solving itself, as people have fewer children and population growth rates slow? Yes - he says - if you discount immigration. The UK's population is more or less static. But it is not so elsewhere. This troubles Attenborough. Sounding off about high population and fertility rates in other countries can sound patronizing, or worse.

Our third Real News Story today comes from infowars.com, May 13th 2009: *Police Encourage Citizens To Report People Who Drive Nice Cars*<sup>5</sup>.

Police in the UK are encouraging citizens to report

---

<sup>5</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090516115722/https://www.infowars.com/police-encourage-citizens-to-report-people-who-drive-nice-cars/>



people who drive nice cars or buy expensive items to the authorities in a new campaign entitled, ‘Too Much Bling? Give Us a Ring’.

“The Gloucestershire force is encouraging members of the public to report people wearing too much ‘bling’ during the recession. They are also urging people to shop anyone who drives flash cars or buys expensive items without the apparent means to afford them during the credit crunch,” reports the Daily Mail<sup>6</sup>.

Posters for the campaign indicate that the targets of the stasi-like crackdown are well-dressed people who own expensive cars, boats and smoke cigars. Apparently, police in the UK think that actually being middle class and able to afford a nice lifestyle is a sign of probable criminal behavior.

Today’s fourth Real News story comes from news.ino.com, 9th of may 2009: *AP sources: Obama wants Fed to be finance supercop*<sup>7</sup>.

The White House told industry officials on Friday that it is leaning toward recommending that the Federal Reserve become the supercop for “too big to fail” companies capable of causing another financial meltdown.

---

<sup>6</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090515024446/http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1180911/Polices-latest-brainwave-Report-people-wear-bling-Crimestoppers.html>

<sup>7</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20220421152402/https://www.nbcmiami.com/news/business/obama-wants-fed-to-be-finance-supercop/1860684/>

According to officials who attended a private one-hour meeting between President Barack Obama's economic advisers and representatives from about a dozen banks, hedge funds and other financial groups, the administration made it clear it was not inclined to divide the job among various regulators as has been suggested by industry and some federal regulators.

"The idea of having a council of regulators was pretty much vetoed," said one participant.

Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner, who briefly attended the meeting but did not identify the Fed specifically as his top choice, told the group that one organization needs to be held responsible for monitoring systemwide risk. He said such a regulator should be given better visibility into all institutions that pose a risk to the financial system, regardless of what business they are in.

A Treasury Department statement provided to The Associated Press on Friday confirmed Geithner's position that he wants a "single independent regulator with responsibility for systemically important firms and critical payment and settlement systems."

Lawmakers are divided on whether the Fed alone should assume the role of systemic regulator. Some say the Fed failed to prevent the current economic crisis and shouldn't be trusted with such a big responsibility. Others say the Fed should stay

focused on its primary duty of setting monetary policy.

Our final Real News Story this week comes from infowars.com, May 12th 2009: *You Tube Free Speech Purge Accelerates, Infowarrior Channel Banned*<sup>8</sup>..

You Tube accelerated its aggressive purge against free speech today after the video networking website suspended the Infowarrior Channel, which was the replacement for the previously censored Alex Jones Channel.

When attempting to visit the Infowarrior Channel this morning, one is met with the message, “This account is suspended.”

Just as before, no credible reason has been provided for the suspension of channel. The original Alex Jones Channel was suspended because You Tube claimed that showing a computer print out of a Pittsburgh Post-Gazette news article on camera constituted “copyright violation,” despite the fact that the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette denied ever making a copyright complaint.

The real reason for the censorship is of course You Tube’s move towards becoming nothing more than a corporate shell for big studio production compa-

---

<sup>8</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090515094959/http://www.infowars.com/you-tube-free-speech-purge-accelerates-infowarrior-channel-banned/>

nies<sup>9</sup>. The company is hemorrhaging money because its current format is not a sustainable business model<sup>10</sup>. However, despite doing what any normal company would do in such a situation and accepting advertising, You Tube has decided to just hand over the direction of its whole content to corporate interests.

However, You Tube's decision to ban both the Infowarrior and the Alex Jones Channel has seemingly backfired, with more Alex Jones videos appearing on You Tube than ever before.

Welcome, my friends, to episode 86 of the Corbett Report: Medical Martial Law. A new strange virus has emerged. It has appeared seemingly out of nowhere and begun human-to-human transmission. The world medical community reacts in horror at the pandemic possibilities. Doctors and health officials scurry to inform the public of the potential health hazards of such a pandemic strain of influenza. Vaccines are touted as the only hope.

Am I referring to the 2009 swine flu outbreak that began in Mexico earlier this year? No, I'm referring to the 1976 swine flu outbreak.

Joe brought it home from the office. He gave it to Betty and one of his kids. And to Betty's mother.

---

<sup>9</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090508035759/http://www.prisonplanet.com/you-tube-in-egregious-censorship-of-alex-jones-channel.html>

<sup>10</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090509150539/http://www.prisonplanet.com/youtube-is-losing-money-hand-over-fist-says-credit-suisse-as-is-twitter.html>

But Betty's mother went back to California the next day. On the way to the airport, she gave it to a cab driver, a ticket agent, and one of the charming stewardesses. At school, Joe's kid gave it to some other kids. And Ms. Meryl got it, and gave it to her husband. In California, Betty's mother gave it to her best friend, Annie. But Annie had a heart condition and she died - but before she died, Annie gave it to her girl friend, the mailman, the paperboy, and the vet when she went to pick up her chihuahua.

If a swine flu epidemic comes, this is how it could spread. You want to be protected, specially if you're elderly or chronically ill. Get a shot of protection: the swine flu shot.

*Source: 1976 swine flu US PSA<sup>11</sup>.*

Yes, that's right, the current hysteria and panic over the swine flu virus is no different than the hysteria and panic that was going on in 1976 over the swine flu outbreak, which began on a US military base, infected a grand total of 13 people, killing *one*, and resulted in an abandoned vaccination program in which 14 million US citizens were vaccinated, resulting in hundreds of paralizaciones and hundreds of deaths from the vaccine. That's an interesting story that we're going to get into, but, why don't we explore some of the history of this swine flu? Let's do that by taking a look at various articles that have surfaced over the last few weeks.

---

<sup>11</sup><https://odysee.com/@sheepfarmstudios:f/swine-flu-us-public-service:2>

**1918: The Spanish flu pandemic** Let's start with this report from CBC News, on the 1st of May 2009: *Swine Flu Roots Traced to Spanish Flu*<sup>12</sup>.

"Pigs might have spread the current strain of influenza to humans, attracting worldwide attention, but new Canadian-led research suggests that we might have given pigs the flu in the first place, during the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic.

A group of Canadian and U.S. researchers, writing in the May issue of the *Journal of Virology*, say experimental testing of how pigs responded to the 1918 Spanish flu supports the theory that the virus was passed on from humans to pigs in 1918, during the Spanish flu pandemic.

Both the human influenza virus known as the Spanish flu and a swine respiratory disease occurred at roughly the same time. The first human cases of Spanish flu appeared in spring of 1918 while the first reports of the swine illness were in the fall of that year.

Some strains of swine flu, including the one that has emerged recently from Mexico, are known to belong to the same subtype – H1N1 – as the Spanish flu. But the classical swine flu virus (an H1N1 subtype of type A influenza virus) wasn't isolated from a pig until 1930, so the connection between the Spanish flu and swine flu hasn't been clear."

---

<sup>12</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090503031257/https://www.cbc.ca/health/story/2009/05/01/swine-flu-spanish-origin.html/>

So in summation, that article shows that indeed, the swine flu virus in fact actually had a start in the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic. And for those of you who are keeping track at home, yes, that story admits that in fact, in the May 2009 edition of the Journal of virology, a paper was published entitled "*Experimental infection of pigs with the human 1918 pandemic influenza virus*". And this research article was written by a team of scientists and subitted to the Journal of Virology in November of 2008, accepted in february of 2009, and, lo and behold, appeared in May 2009, in the Journal of Virology - just as a swine flu pandemic was sweeping the globe. What an amazing and interesting coincidence.

Yes, you can't make that stuff up, and of course for this document and all of the documents cited in today's episode please go to CorbettReport.com and find today's episode<sup>13</sup> for a documentation list with links to everything cited in today's episode, including this extremely interesting scientific article<sup>14</sup>.

And why is this article interesting? Well, what is the Spanish flu? The Spanish flu was a pandemic that broke out, as I say, in 1918 and also had its start on a US military base, among freshly vaccinated US troops. The process of vaccinating US troops had been recently instituted as it was still a relatively new technology at the time, and suddenly a deadly new pandemic of flu swept the world, killing millions upon millions of people.

The Spanish flu eventually mutated out of existance, as flus tend to do, swapping genes from incarnation to incarnation as they spread among different hosts, until eventually they mutate into or away from more pandemic strains. Meaning that

---

<sup>13</sup><https://www.corbettreport.com/episode-086-medical-martial-law/>

<sup>14</sup><https://journals.asm.org/doi/full/10.1128/JVI.02399-08>

eventually the Spanish flu itself petered out, but not apparently before being transferred to pigs and starting the swine flu phenomenon.

So, that begs the question, if this is a virus that has not existed for many decades, then how exactly did that research team get a sample of the 1918 Spanish flu to infect pigs with for their research? Well, that answer comes from a 9th of October 2003 article from [sunshine-project.org](http://sunshine-project.org), and I would highly suggest that people go and visit that website to find out more about it. Even though it is no longer being updated, it provides some extremely interesting information about biowarfare and the biowarfare capabilities and technologies that have been built up and stockpiled by countries around the world over the preceding decades, the United States first and foremost among them.

This article is called *Recreating the Spanish flu?*<sup>15</sup>, and, again, is from the 9th of October 2003.

”US scientists led by a Pentagon pathologist recently began to genetically reconstruct this specifically dangerous 1918 influenza strain. In one experiment a partially reconstructed 1918 virus killed mice, while virus constructs with genes from a contemporary flu virus had hardly any effect.

Attempts to recover the Spanish flu virus date to the 1950s, when scientists unsuccessfully tried to revive the virus from victims buried in the permafrost of Alaska<sup>16</sup>. In the mid 1990s, Dr Jef-

---

<sup>15</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20031022102734/https://sunshine-project.org/publications/others/gmoflu.html>

<sup>16</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20010822211606/www.nature>.



frey Taubenberger from the US Armed Forces Institute of Pathology started to screen preserved tissue samples from 1918 influenza victims. It appears that this work was not triggered by a search for flu treatments, or the search for a new biowarfare agent, but by a rather simple motivation: Taubenberger and his team were just able to do it. In previous experiments they had developed a new technique to analyse DNA in old, preserved tissues and for now looking for new applications: "The 1918 flu was by far and away the most interesting thing we could think of" explained Taubenberger the reason why he started to unravel the secrets of one of most deadliest viruses known to humankind.

A sample of lung tissue from a 21-year-old soldier who died in 1918 at Fort Jackson in South Carolina<sup>17</sup>, yielded what the Army researchers were looking for: intact pieces of viral RNA that could be analysed and sequenced. In a first publication in 1997, nine short fragments of Spanish flu viral RNA were revealed (Taubenberger et al. 1997).''

There is no sound scientific reason to conduct these experiments. The most recent experiments (Tumpey et al. 2002) allegedly sought to test the efficacy of existing antiviral drugs on the 1918 construct – but there is little need for antiviral drugs

---

com/nsu/990304/990304-5.html

<sup>17</sup>[https://web.archive.org/web/20030126020220/www.dcmilitary.com/army/stripe/archives/mar28/str\\_flu032897.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20030126020220/www.dcmilitary.com/army/stripe/archives/mar28/str_flu032897.html)

against the 1918 strain if the 1918 strain would not have been sequenced and recreated in the first place.

That's right, a disturbing article from 2003 detailing the Armed Forces' Institute of Pathology's experimental tests to try to reconstruct the 1918 Spanish flu virus, and as we all know, the Armed Forces Intsitude of Pathology could only be working in the people's best interest...

Well, of course, there's just one problem with that, and it has to do with biosafety. Even if the intentions of the people reconstructing the most deadly virus to have affected the human family in the last hundred years - even if their intentions and motives were pure as the driven snow - well, how about the issue of biosafety? Were they taking adequate precautions in their tests, as they eventually did manage to reconstruct the 1918 Spanish flu? Well, the answer to that comes from another Sunshine Project article, released on the 21st of October, 2003, under the headline *"Biosafety Irregularity in Spanish Flu Experiments Highlights the Need to Strengthen Biodefense Transparency."*<sup>18</sup>

"Genetic experiments to recreate one of the most devastating viruses of the past century were not reviewed or approved by a biosafety committee. The University of Georgia claims that it was too troublesome to convene its Institutional Biosafety Committee to review research to genetically reconstruct the Spanish flu. Instead, the University

---

<sup>18</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20031204164141/https://sunshine-project.org/publications/pr/pr211003.html>

signed off on the experiments based on ad hoc talks between only four members of its biosafety committee. As a result, no minutes were taken to describe safety review of the experiments. In fact, by not convening its committee, Georgia's actions ensured that there was no timely opportunity to raise concerns at all.

The case demonstrates a severe weakness in the public disclosure provisions of federal research rules (the NIH Guidelines) and underscores the need for mandatory committee-level (or higher) review of research projects with disease agents.”

So, of course it wasn't really worth the trouble to convene a biosafety review of the experiments reconstructing the most deadly virus to be known to mankind in the past century... indeed. Well, that was the 1918 Spanish flu and I guess some people might consider that a thing of the past, even though it's now being resurrected Jurassic Park style to haunt us once again, and it's being used to infect pigs for virology studies by Canadian researchers. And just as they're releasing their results, a new swine flu strain has emerged. Well, we'll get more into the new swine flu strain in a moment, but again let's take a moment to look at the 1976 swine flu outbreak.

**1976: The Swine flu outbreak** For some basic information on that, let's turn to an article by Paul Mickle, of *The Trentonian*, under the headline *"1976: Fear of a great plague"*.<sup>19</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090424185221/http://www.capitalcentury.com/1976.html>

”On the cold afternoon of February 5, 1976, an Army recruit told his drill instructor at Fort Dix that he felt tired and weak but not sick enough to see military medics or skip a big training hike.

Within 24 hours, 19-year-old Pvt. David Lewis of Ashley Falls, Mass., was dead, killed by an influenza not seen since the plague of 1918-19, which took 500,000 American lives and 20 million world-wide.

Two weeks after the recruit’s death, health officials disclosed to America that something called ”swine flu” had killed Lewis and hospitalized four of his fellow soldiers at the Army base in Burlington County.

The ominous name of the flu alone was enough to touch off civilian fear of an epidemic. And government doctors knew from tests hastily conducted at Dix after Lewis’ death that 500 soldiers had caught swine flu without falling ill.

Any flu able to reach that many people so fast was capable of becoming another worldwide plague, the doctors warned, raising these questions:

Does America mobilize for mass inoculations in time to have everybody ready for the next flu season? Or should the country wait to see if the new virus would, as they often do, get stronger to hit harder in the second year?

Thus was born what would become known to some medical historians as a fiasco and to others as per-

haps the finest hour of America's public health bureaucracy.

Only young Lewis died from the swine flu itself in 1976. But as the critics are quick to point out, hundreds of Americans were killed or seriously injured by the inoculation the government gave them to stave off the virus.''

And for more information about the vaccine program that was instituted in the wake of that swine flu outbreak in 1976, let's turn to Time Magazine, which ran an article on April 27th of 2009: *"How to Deal with Swine Flu: Heeding the Mistakes of 1976"*,<sup>20</sup> which reads in part:

"In February 1976, an outbreak of swine flu struck Fort Dix Army base in New Jersey, killing a 19-year-old private and infecting hundreds of soldiers. Concerned that the U.S. was on the verge of a devastating epidemic, President Gerald Ford ordered a nationwide vaccination program at a cost of 135million(*some*500 million in today's money). Within weeks, reports surfaced of people developing Guillain-Barré syndrome, a paralyzing nerve disease that can be caused by the vaccine. By April, more than 30 people had died of the condition. Facing protests, federal officials abruptly canceled the program on Dec. 16. The epidemic failed to materialize.''

---

<sup>20</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090429201205/https://time.com/time/health/article/0%2C8599%2C1894129%2C00.html>

”Howard Markel, director of the Center for the History of Medicine at the University of Michigan and a historical consultant to the CDC on flu pandemics, says the most vexing decision facing health officials is when to institute mass vaccination programs. Vaccines carry risks of complications, leading to agonizing ethical dilemmas. In 1976, Ford offered indemnity to the vaccine manufacturers. But according to reports, President George W. Bush decided in 2002 not to administer a nationwide smallpox vaccination program — despite Vice President Dick Cheney’s belief that doing so was a prudent counterterrorism step — because it could have resulted in dozens of deaths (the smallpox vaccine kills between 1 and 2 people per million people inoculated).

Markel says the political climate in the U.S. is much less combustible today than in the post-Watergate era, when Ford faced a skeptical public. Even so, he says, citizens still need to trust that the government is working for the greater good. He says, ”The good news is that our surveillance, methodology and public health professionals have never been better. But we are human and mistakes may be made — as happened with the 1976 swine flu affair — and we may jump the gun in the hope of preserving life. The current outbreak is a situation in flux. The American public has to be forgiving and patient and do [their] part too.” “

Think for a moment what you have just been told in that ar-

ticle. Ultimately, what you're being told is that although the government's decision to implement a mass vaccination program to try to inoculate all 220 million americans in the wake of the 1976 swine flu pandemic killed 13 people and paralyzed hundreds of others, and even though the smallpox vaccine kills one or two people for every million people innoculated (and, by the way, those, of course, are government-cooked numbers) we should still trust the government when they want to implement a mass vaccination program and "do your part" as well, because we all know **you** are mostly responsible for things like flu pandemics...

Of course, it's not the vaccine manufacturers that could possibly be responsible for a flu pandemic, right? Well, maybe wrong. How about this headline from prisonplanet.com, May 4th 2009: *"Professor: Descendent Of H1N1 Virus "Accidentally" Released From Lab"*.<sup>21</sup>

"A Professor at the Royal London Hospital told NPR today that the descendent of the current H1N1 virus was "accidentally" released from a laboratory during experimentation.

Professor John Oxford stated that the strain of H1N1 that appeared in the 1970's was "probably released accidentally from a laboratory, probably in northern China or just across the border in Russia, because everyone was experimenting with those viruses at the time in the lab".<sup>22</sup>

---

<sup>21</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20201109032548/https://www.prisonplanet.com/professor-descendent-of-h1n1-virus-accidentally-released-from-lab.html>

<sup>22</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20201026194659/https://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=103711274&sc=emaf>

Oxford told NPR that he didn't believe the release was malicious but came as a result of "some flu vaccine research that broke out of containment." "

But, of course, as we know, the flu vaccine manufacturers in 1976 were given indemnity by the Ford administration for the creation of the swine flu vaccine. So, again, vaccine manufacturers can do no wrong, even when some of their experiments accidentally just jump out of the lab.

**2005: The Avian Flu threat** Another pretty clear example of just how accountable the vaccine manufacturers are to the government regulators who are in the back pockets of the vaccine manufacturers comes from the story that unfolded earlier this year, from March 5th 2009, prisonplanet.com: "*Accidental' Contamination Of Vaccine With Live Avian Flu Virus Virtually Impossible*".<sup>23</sup> Let's read from this article once again, because it's an absolutely incredible case that has been followed up on in *none* of the controlled corporate media:

"Czech newspapers are questioning if the shocking discovery of vaccines contaminated with the deadly avian flu virus which were distributed to 18 countries by the American company Baxter were part of a conspiracy to provoke a pandemic.

The claim holds weight because, according to the very laboratory protocols that are routine for vaccine makers, mixing a live virus biological weapon

---

<sup>23</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090309232626/http://www.prisonplanet.com/accidental-contamination-of-vaccine-with-live-avian-flu-virus-virtually-impossible.html>



with vaccine material by accident is *virtually impossible*.

“The company that released contaminated flu virus material from a plant in Austria confirmed Friday that the experimental product contained live H5N1 avian flu viruses,” reports the Canadian Press.<sup>24</sup>

Baxter flu vaccines contaminated with H5N1 - otherwise known as the human form of avian flu, one of the most deadly biological weapons on earth with a 60% kill rate - were received by labs in the Czech Republic, Germany, and Slovenia.

Initially, Baxter attempted to stonewall questions by invoking “trade secrets” and refused to reveal how the vaccines were contaminated with H5N1. After increased pressure they then claimed that pure H5N1 batches were sent by accident. This was seemingly an attempt to quickly change the story and hide the fact that the accidental contamination of a vaccine with a deadly biological agent like avian flu is virtually impossible and the only way it could have happened was by wilful gross criminal negligence.

The fact that Baxter mixed the deadly H5N1 virus with a mix of H3N2 seasonal flu viruses is the smoking gun. The H5N1 virus on its own has killed hundreds of people, but it is less airborne and more restricted in the ease with which it can spread. However, when combined with seasonal

---

<sup>24</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090309151750/http://www.torontosun.com/news/canada/2009/02/27/8560781.html>

flu viruses, which as everyone knows are super-airborne and easily spread, the effect is a potent, super-airbone, super deadly biological weapon.

As the Canadian Press article explains, "While H5N1 doesn't easily infect people, H3N2 viruses do. If someone exposed to a mixture of the two had been simultaneously infected with both strains, he or she could have served as an incubator for a hybrid virus able to transmit easily to and among people." "

So here we have Baxter, vaccine manufacturer, accidentally shipping out live avian flu viruses to be mixed with H3N2 easily transmissible human flu viruses to be put in flu vaccines, and not only is there no criminal investigation and inditement of the people responsible for this unbelievable gross criminal negligence that could have resulted in a pandemic, and for all we know, did result in a pandemic - because, as readers of my article on governments and biowarfare will be well aware, this new - never before seen - H1N1 swine flu virus is in fact a mixture of European, North-American and Asian bird, human and pig flus, which suddenly and spontaneously developed in Mexico. Yes, that's right, apparently someone in Mexico had the gross misfortune to be exposed to and infected by three or four different strains of the flu simmultaneously, developing this new virus out of whole cloth... Indeed...

So, what punishmet does Baxter get from this? Well, as I say, no criminal investigation, no inditements, no public outcry, but they do get this: "*Baxter To Develop Swine Flu Vaccine Despite Bird Flu Scandal*".<sup>25</sup> Infowars.net, April 27th, 2009.

---

<sup>25</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090429221310/http://>

”A U.S. based pharmaceutical company that just weeks ago was involved in a scandal involving vaccines tainted with deadly avian flu virus has been chosen to head up efforts to produce a vaccine for the Mexican swine flu that has seemingly migrated into the U.S. and Europe.

Baxter confirmed over the weekend that it is working with the World Health Organization on a potential vaccine to curb the deadly swine flu virus that is blamed for scores of deaths in Mexico and has emerged as a threat in the U.S., reports the Chicago Tribune.<sup>26</sup>

Baxter has previously worked with governments all over the globe to develop and produce vaccines to protect against infectious disease or potential threats from bioterrorism. After 9/11 Baxter helped supply stockpiles of a smallpox vaccine and in 2003 the company was contracted to develop a vaccine to combat the SARS virus. In 2006 the UK Government announced plans designed to inoculate every person in the country with Baxter’s vaccines in the event of a flu pandemic.<sup>27</sup>

However, Baxter has a very recent and most disturbing connection to flu vaccines.

---

[//www.infowars.net/articles/april2009/270409Baxter.htm](http://www.infowars.net/articles/april2009/270409Baxter.htm)

<sup>26</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090429183539/http://www.chicagotribune.com/business/chi-baxter-swine-flu-27-apr27,0,3579388.story>

[//www.chicagotribune.com/business/chi-baxter-swine-flu-27-apr27,0,3579388.story](http://www.chicagotribune.com/business/chi-baxter-swine-flu-27-apr27,0,3579388.story)

<sup>27</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090501181502/http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/february2006/240206jabs.htm>

[//www.prisonplanet.com/articles/february2006/240206jabs.htm](http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/february2006/240206jabs.htm)

---

As reported by multiple sources last month, including the Times of India<sup>28</sup>, vaccines contaminated with deadly live H5N1 avian flu virus were distributed to 18 countries last December by a lab at an Austrian branch of Baxter.

It was only by providence that the batch was first tested on ferrets in the Czech Republic, before being shipped out for injection into humans. The ferrets all died and the shocking discovery was made.’’

And now Baxter is currently working away trying to develop that new swine flu vaccine that’s going to arrive just in time for us to all be vaccinated for the new flu season in the fall, because as we’re being told every a few minutes in the controlled corporate media, the swine flu pandemic hysteria might be dying down and it might not turn out to be such a deadly strain after all, but of course, the new flu season is just around the corner and when it comes, in the fall, there’s still the possibility that *millions will die*<sup>29</sup>.

That, I think, at least traces in a very, very short and condensed way the arc of the last 90 years of pandemics, or swine flu pandemics at any rate, and some of the disturbing trends in that arc. Another story that I think is pretty important at this juncture came just recently from The Age, out of Australia, at theage.com.au: *”Swine flu may be a lab error: Aussie researcher.”*<sup>30</sup>

---

<sup>28</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090310000244/http://www.prisonplanet.com/virus-mix-up-by-lab-could-have-resulted-in-pandemic.html>

<sup>29</sup>Sarcasm.

<sup>30</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090516110641/http://>

”The World Health Organisation is investigating a claim by an Australian researcher that the swine flu virus circling the globe may have been created as a result of human error.

Adrian Gibbs, 75, who collaborated on research that led to the development of Roche’s Tamiflu drug, said in an interview that he intends to publish a report suggesting the new strain may have accidentally evolved in eggs scientists use to grow viruses and drugmakers use to make vaccines. Gibbs said he came to his conclusion as part of an effort to trace the virus’s origins by analysing its genetic blueprint.”

Now, I would like to think that the foregoing might give someone pause for thought before they willingly line up to get the H1N1 swine flu jab when it becomes available, and, you know what, I think people might actually be paying attention to this kind of information, because in May 13th 2009 Reuter reported the following after the headline *”Fewer than a third in US would get swine flu jab”*.<sup>31</sup>

”Fewer than a third of U.S. adults would get a shot especially made to protect against the new H1N1 swine flu virus, according to a poll released on Thursday.”

And I’ll let my readers read that article for themselves, but basically, yes, fewer than one third of Americans are willing to

[business.theage.com.au/business/world-business/swine-flu-may-be-a-lab-error-aussie-researcher-20090513-b2zh.html](http://business.theage.com.au/business/world-business/swine-flu-may-be-a-lab-error-aussie-researcher-20090513-b2zh.html)

<sup>31</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090517212602/http://www.reuters.com/article/latestCrisis/idUSN13271782>

get the H1N1 swine flu jab, so, again, this is a very encouraging thing as it shows that perhaps people are actually thinking about what it means to be putting experimental and new vaccines in their body - and potentially mutating viruses into even deadlier strains, which is what, of course, happens when we insert new viruses into our bodies, even if they are attenuated.

But given such resistance to the idea of a swine flu vaccine, how could the American people, or the people of any country in the free and democratic Western world, be made to accept these vaccines?

**News host:** Also, the legislature in Massachusetts is acting rapidly on a bill updating what the State can do in a public health emergency. That bill has languished [...] for some time, but with the flu outbreak it's now racing through the legislature. Josh Brogadir is at the State House in Boston tonight. Josh?

**Brogadir, news reporter:** The State Senate passed this bill, bill 2028 today, they did so unanimously. And it gives the governor and the health commissioner the power to act in the public's interest in case there's any kind of medical emergency.

**Narrator:** Timing sped up at a hearing and, ultimately, unanimous senate approval of the Pandemic and Disaster Preparation and Response bill in Massachusetts. The bill gives the public health commissioner the discretion to respond to an outbreak like the one going on in Mexico. To close or evacuate buildings, enter private property, isolate or quarantine people, to get and distribute meds

and vaccines. A registry of massachussets volunteers would be created and would be activated in case of emergency. Plus the commissioner can request personnel from other states. The bill also protects health care workers from liability.

Concerns about the spread of swine flu meant lawmakers like democrat Richard Moore didn't want to take any chances.

**Sen. Richard Moore:** "It's too bad that we have to have something like that pending to get us to finally act, but this was actually on the calendar before that became a news story, so it's totally that, but it does give us another reason why it's a good idea to have this on the books."

**Brogadir:** The bill has actually passed the Senate a couple times in the past few years but it's never passed both the Senate and the House - the House is expected to take up the bill sometime this week.

**News host:** Josh, any penalty if you don't follow the emergency declaration rules?

**Brogadir:** It can actually get to be a pretty severe penalty, because for each day someone didn't follow a rule, for example if someone was asked to be quarantined and they decided not to follow that, it'd be a fine of up to \$1000 dollars per day they didn't follow, and also up to 30 days in prison.

**News host:** Josh Brogadir on Beacon Hill tonight, thank you."

Source: *Swine Flu Martial Law Bill Clears Massachusetts Sen-*

*ate*<sup>32</sup>

**Medical Martial Law 2009** Now, obviously *martial law* is an issue that we've been addressing on the Corbett Report podcast for some time, and of course in various articles and videos that we've also created in the past.

But *medical* martial law is an issue that not many researchers have been devoting a significant amount of attention to - with some notable exceptions. But to trace the idea of medical martial law, or the idea that government can quarantine and force-medicate people against their wishes in the event of a "public health emergency", of course, we can trace the roots back to those fevered and panicked days in the wake of 9/11, when the idea of bioterrorism was very much on the tips of many people's tongues. And in the wake of that horrendous attack on the United States and of course the anthrax attack (which later turned out to be from Fort Dietrick and was eventually pinned on Bruce Ivins, although there are some very big questions surrounding that), in the wake of all that panic something called the "Model State Emergency Health Powers Act" was drafted by something called "The Center for Law and the Public's Health at Georgetown and Johns Hopkins Universities".<sup>33</sup> Now, this was done in conjunction with the Center for Disease Control, and as its name would suggest, it basically serves as a model for states to use to draft up their own emergency health powers acts.

And, in fact, the Center for Law and the Public's Health, which can be found at [publichealthlaw.net](http://publichealthlaw.net), likes to brag that this particular model act has been now implemented and

---

<sup>32</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2u54pF98nkk>

<sup>33</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20210214010034/http://www.publichealthlaw.net/MSEHPA/MSEHPA.pdf>



adopted by over 40 states in the US. So, it's quite an influential document, and what does this document say about things that the government should be able to do in the event of a public health emergency? Well, section 603 of the document, under the heading *Vaccination and Treatment* reads the following:

”During a state of public health emergency, the public health authority may exercise the following emergency powers over persons as necessary to address the public health emergency:

A) Vaccination. To vaccinate persons as protection against infections, disease, and to prevent the spread of contagious or possibly contagious disease.

1) Vaccination may be performed by any qualified person authorized to do so by the public health authority. 2) A vaccine to be administered must not be such as is reasonably likely to lead to serious harm to the affected individual. 3) To prevent the spread of contagious or possibly contagious disease the public health authority may isolate or quarantine, pursuant section 604, persons who are unable or unwilling for reasons of health, religion, or conscience to undergo vaccination pursuant to this section.

”

So, basically, in layman's terms, either roll up your sleeve and take the shot, or they're going to lock you in a room somewhere. Or perhaps even in a camp with the other people that won't take their shots. Now, of course this addresses some very fundamental issues about the existence, or lack thereof, of actual freedom. And the times and situations in which the government can come in and force people to do things against their will.

Now, I think every reasonable person would admit that there are reasonable situations in which a reasonably acting government could reasonably use reasonable procedures to make people act reasonably. But this is not a reasonable world.

And we have to, if we're being fully serious and looking at this issues in the full knowledge of what has happened in the past, question the motives and motivations of governments that would lie to us time and time and time again.

Of course, such questioning was conducted by Michel Chosudovsky of [globalresearch.ca](http://globalresearch.ca) in an October 2005 article called "*Martial Law and the Avian Flu Pandemic*".<sup>34</sup>

"The threat of the avian flu pandemic is real. Until recently, national governments and the WHO have dismissed the seriousness of the crisis. The public has been misinformed. The issue has been barely mentioned by the media.

Why all of a sudden is avian flu on the presidential agenda?

The issue was placed on the agenda of the President's White House Press Conference (October

---

<sup>34</sup><https://www.globalresearch.ca/martial-law-and-the-avian-flu-pandemic/1041>

4, 2005). There was nothing spontaneous in the White House journalist's question to President Bush, which explicitly pointed to a role for the country's "defense assets" in the case of a pandemic.

We are not dealing with an off-the-cuff statement. Both the question as well as Bush's response calling for a greater role of the Military, had been prepared in advance.

The statement of President Bush suggests the enactment of Martial Law in the case of an avian flu outbreak. Martial Law could also be established, using the pretext of an outbreak of avian flu in foreign countries and its potential impacts on the US.

In other words, the Military rather than the country's civilian health authorities would be put in charge.''

This story leads in a pretty obvious line of historical continuity to the September 2007 article from [prisonplanet.com](http://prisonplanet.com) under the headline "*Bush Greases Skids For UN Pandemic Power Grab*".<sup>35</sup>

"The World Health Organization and the U.N. have been handed complete control over response procedures in the event of a pandemic outbreak in the U.S. after an agreement was signed by President

---

<sup>35</sup>[https://web.archive.org/web/20071021001138/https://www.infowars.com/articles/nwo/un\\_bush\\_greases\\_skids\\_for\\_un\\_pandemic\\_power\\_grab.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20071021001138/https://www.infowars.com/articles/nwo/un_bush_greases_skids_for_un_pandemic_power_grab.htm)

Bush at the recent SPP meeting that bypasses congressional approval.

"We've now got a North American plan for avian and pandemic influenza....and what this plan does is it puts U.S., Canadian and Mexican under the World Health Organization and under the United Nations' law and control should there be any health emergency," bestselling author Jerome Corsi told the Alex Jones Show on Friday.

Corsi said it was "blue helmet time" should such an emergency arise and that the origins of the agreement could be traced back to 2005, when President Bush announced a new International Partnership on Avian and Pandemic Influenza to a High-Level Plenary Meeting of the U.N. General Assembly, in New York.

At the recent SPP meeting in Montebello Canada, an agreement was signed that "Establishes U.N. law along with regulations by the World Trade Organization and World Health Organization as supreme over U.S. law during a pandemic and sets the stage for militarizing the management of continental health emergencies," writes Corsi."

Now, there's no doubt that a pandemic, whether real or imagined, whether naturally occurring or manufactured in a vaccine laboratory, whether accidentally released or released on purpose, no matter how such a pandemic or even the perception of a pandemic were to play out, it would play into the hands of the globalists seeking to centralize control and seeking to erase borders for their global governmental institutions.

Of course, the WHO is going to play a very important role in any international pandemic, as one would reasonably expect if this were, again, a reasonable world. But in an age where the WHO, the UN, the World Trade Organization and others are seeking to bring global health regulations in line in such a way as to outlaw public health freedoms and to institute such things as Codex Alimentarius, one could definitely not say that this is a reasonable situation.

And as we've seen, the preparation and build up for martial law in the entire western world over a period of decades, we know that such a situation could not end happily for the average citizen.

Just as the creation of a global governmental financial control body will only play into the hands of those seeking to create global government, so a global health emergency can only play into the hands of those seeking to create global governmental controls.

And to think that there are not going to be just some very insignificant significant tests of this type of governmental intervention (military and otherwise) in the lives of average citizens as the result of future pandemics and outbreaks, one need only look at this current swine flu panic (again, only resulting in a handful of deaths): there have already been substantial moves made to implement medical martial law - not just the passing of that act in Massachussets. For one indication of that, we can turn to computerworld.com, April 30th 2009: *"If flu threat rises, CDC wants 'pandemic coordinator' in workplace"*.<sup>36</sup>

Another indication for those who might think that this is

---

<sup>36</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200314060335/https://www.computerworld.com/article/2524295/if-flu-threat-rises--cdc-wants--pandemic-coordinator--in-workplace.html>

solely an American issue, comes from an Australian article from the Australian Broadcasting Corporation, at abc.net.au, from April 28th 2009: "Mandatory detention laws for flu sufferers".<sup>37</sup>

And, of course, we also have The North Bay Nugget reporting from a Canadian perspective, on the talks about how the North Bay Parry Sound District Health Unit is setting up flu centers which will in fact be mass vaccination centers in the event that emergency rooms become overwhelmed by pandemic flu cases.<sup>38</sup>

Again, of course, all of these stories and many, many, many more that we don't have time to get into today but which I leave you to research for yourself, are just data points in a trail that starts to form a picture, a picture that shows us a very bleak future if and when the governments of the Western world decide to pull the trigger on the pandemic martial law scenario.

Again, of course, part of the martial law process which we've outlined in previous episodes of this podcast is to indoctrinate and gradually acclimate people to the implementation of martial law. So it's to be expected that the first implementation or the first two or three implementations will be small scale and generally seen to be mostly effective, although of course there will be much pandering in the media by people pretending to be on our side, who will say that perhaps it's going too far to force people into vaccination scenarios, or to quarantine them against their will, but eventually, of course, the whole media process will serve only to further cement in the minds of the

---

<sup>37</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090430102705/http://www.abc.net.au/news/stories/2009/04/28/2555364.htm>

<sup>38</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090504222851/https://www.nugget.ca/ArticleDisplay.aspx?e=1547928>

general public that such steps are necessary.

And eventually, by the time the public is acclimated to the idea, then all it would take is the release of a biowarfare agent, whether from a real bioterrorist group or, as is more often the case, by the government, to be used to affect the full scale implementation of what they're only beginning to test out at the moment.

And of course, just as a martial law scenario that would play out in the event of a terrorist attack, a martial law scenario in the wake of a pandemic attack could only be pulled off if the sufficient percentage of the public were unaware of the ultimate gameplan. And of course, that game plan is exactly what was talked about in today's first real news story, from Jim Tucker reporting from this year's Bilderberg, and revealing that, yes, indeed, top of the agenda is how to exploit the swine flu hysteria to further strengthen the WHO and implement world health regulations.

So, once again, the swine flu hysteria, which has been fed and fed upon by the controlled corporate media, and has sufficiently served to raise public hysteria is, of course, another stepping stone on the way to global government, just like so many other issues have been exploited in exactly this way.

So what then can we actually do about this? Well, of course, forewarned is forearmed, knowledge is power, and we have to inform others about what is really going on. And an absolute cornerstone of this research is the understanding that the vaccines that they're going to try to use in the event of the next pandemic are more likely than not, going to hurt people than save them. And we have to expose *that* by exposing some of the very troubling information about vaccines presented in today's episode.

The fact that Baxter shipped out live bird flu to be mixed in with regular flu for a flu shot is something that we should be screaming from the rooftops to anyone who will listen. That is one of the key stories that shows that even if this was a complete accident (in which case it would just be gross criminal negligence), the fact that it hasn't been touted, it hasn't been cited, it hasn't been written about or talked about in the controlled corporate media is itself a sign that there is a very troubling disconnect between the real world and the world that we're being fed through the TV news and the newspapers.

The fact that the Baxter company has been accused of specifically trying to start a pandemic should be in any reasonable world the front page headline on every newspaper in the world, until some accountability is to be had. But, of course, as we know, vaccine manufacturers are given all sorts of indemnity against claims from people who have had ill effects from their noxious substances. We have to break through and get people to realize that the vaccine manufacturers are very often the cause of the problems, not the solution. And, of course, the 1976 swine flu vaccine is a good example of that. And the recent revelation that this swine flu may in fact have been developed in a vaccine experimental lab and just "accidentally" released should be another thing that draws the public's attention towards the fundamental issue of biosafety, which is never mentioned in the news reports. It's always the general public who don't wash their hands or who don't take their flu shots, that are to blame for millions of deaths.

At this point, I leave you to go out there and do your own research, but of course, get that research out to others. Without exposing others to this information, and waking them up to what is really going on, we will not prevail in this fight and



medical martial law will be implemented.

”OK, let’s look at some of the examples, we’re talking about closing schools, which I’m sure people would understand, closing the border to Mexico, stop us from gathering in public spaces, we mentioned movie theaters, I can think of a lot of other things, like church, others may be going to the mall...

But what a bout legislatures? What about the US congress? What about the courts of law? What about juries? Because we don’t want to have public assemblies.”



## *Chapter 2*

---

### *2014: The Ebola Effect*

---

The first thing to say, the first thing I want to stress about all off this is, of course: *don't panic*. The panic button has definitely been hit multiple times by the MSM, by governments of the world, by the WHO, and other organizations that are ramping up the fear right now (and demonstrably so) so that this is now covering and dominating a lot of the coverage of CNN, and outlets like that, and as always, if the MSM wants you to panic about something, it's probably best to go the other way.

And I would say that for anyone who's in a country in which the Ebola virus has yet to transmit from person to person, i.e. anywhere outside of West Africa (when we're talking about this 2014 outbreak specifically), please don't panic at this point. I'm not saying that it's not possible for this virus to become truly pandemic, but at this point it is not. And, honestly, it is a greater detriment to your health to worry about health crises like this (that you have not been exposed to yet, and do not have a possible exposure vector at this point) than it is to actually be worried and prepared and hunkering down and getting your sup-

plies ready for the zombie apocalypse, which is probably not gonna come.

I can't definitively say how this pandemic is going to play out from here, but I can say that the panic at this stage is absolutely unwarranted for anyone who's outside of the affected areas, at the very least. And even in the affected areas, I think people should be more concerned about some of the other developments that are taking place, that we'll be getting into.

On the other side, the flip-side of this injunction - don't panic - are the people out there who say *"well, yes, of course there is nothing to worry about here because this is all just hype by the MSM, so I think it's just a distraction issue"*. There's people on the comment thread of the Ebola panic article on CorbettReport.com that are voicing this concern: that this is just a distraction issue, not worth our time.

I wouldn't go that far. I don't think it's just a distractionary issue, in fact I think this is ultimately (regardless of whether or not this particular pandemic actually eventuates into anything that we truly do need to worry about), I think that at the very least it is preparatory, it is part of the consciousness seeding that is going on right now as part of a decades-long preparation of the public for a pandemic virus and I think it is important for us to keep track of that narrative that they're putting out there so we can understand it and better disarm it. Because it is a weapon that is being used to colonize our minds right now, and if we simply ignore that weapon, then it will be more powerfully effective against us when it is eventually deployed for real.

So, having said all of that as preparation, let's get into some of the specifics. What are we going to cover in this presentation?

We're going to review the official story of this outbreak, be-

cause it is always good to know the official story so we know what it is that we're refuting and what it is that we're working against.

We're going to examine the implications of this outbreak for the implementation (or the possible future implementation) of medical martial law.

We're going to talk about the biowarfare connection to this and the depopulation endgame agenda that is behind the ultimate (I think) long term narrative of these types of pandemics.

And finally we're going to scrutinize some of the alt media claims that are being made right now, that I think are unwarranted, because **just because the MSM is wrong about everything doesn't mean the alt media is right about everything.**

**History** Let's start, as we usually do, by just taking a look at the original story that we're expected to believe about this: the official story about the Ebola virus itself, from the WHO.

*Ebola virus virus causes Ebola hemorrhagic fever in human beings. It is Likely hosted by fruit bats.* Again, the WHO speculates that the natural reservoir for the Ebola virus is fruit bats, and that it has transferred to the human population, but they don't know, so there you go, take that speculation for what it's worth.

*This virus first appeared in 1976, in a village near the Ebola river, in present day Congo, back when it was Zaire, and also in 1976 there was another outbreak in Sudan, and they were separate outbreaks. It was originally believed to be a new strain of the Marburg virus, which is another viral hemorrhagic fever that operates in a similar way, so even at the time there was some confusion over that.*

And again, coming from the WHO: *"Infection occurs from direct contact through broken skin or mucous membrane with the*

*blood or other bodily fluids or secretions: stool, urine, saliva, semen of infected people”.*

Of course, that is contentious, we’re just outlining the official story from the WHO, the CDC, the mainstream progenitors of this - but even among that crowd this is somewhat contentious. Certainly there have been clinical trials<sup>1</sup> that have at least suggested the possibility that Ebola is transmissible through the air. And that is still seen as a potential vector, or at least something that people should be concerned about, for example the Canadian public health authorities and others<sup>2</sup>.

The idea that this is a virus that can only be transmitted through direct contact with mucous membranes or with contaminated products is not something that is certainly ”settled science” by any means.

This in fact is one of the reasons why Ebola has been studied, for a long time, in biowarfare research as a potential biowarfare agent, because it has been understood that it can at least *be made* airborne-transmissible and thus potentially effective as a bioweapon.

Moving along with the official story. *The symptoms of the Ebola hemorrhagic fever start off as sudden onset of fever, intense weakness, muscle pain, headache and sore throat (aka the regular flu) and at later stages of the disease you get vomiting, diarrhea, rash, impaired kidney and liver function and internal/external bleeding.*

It’s important to know that for those of you watching the video presentation of this podcast there is a picture on screen

---

<sup>1</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20121120022635/http://www.nature.com/srep/2012/121115/srep00811/full/srep00811.html>

<sup>2</sup><http://www.vanguardngr.com/2014/08/experts-say-transmission-ebola-virus-air-possible/>

of a man suffering from Ebola hemorrhagic fever, bleeding out from the mouth. This is one of the less graphic versions of this types of pictures which are widely available online and widely used to illustrate stories about Ebola and the official CDC and WHO postings. Because, of course, it is an extremely effective image, but as people who are doubting the Ebola panic and hype, like Jon Rappoport points out, this is certainly not the necessary or even necessarily the typical presentation of this disease, so it's a psyop in and of itself to present it and to dwell on this type of images rather than on a lot of patients who do not exhibit this type of disgusting painful bleeding type of presentation of the disease at all.

**Biopharmaceuticals** Again, moving on with the official story, officially coming from the WHO<sup>3</sup>:

*There is no licensed or authorized treatment or vaccine for Ebola virus.* It is limited to this point to basically care for people who are exhibiting symptoms, as in ameliorating their symptoms and trying to help their bodies fight it off naturally.

*There was a serum that was derived from patients who had survived the 1955 Congo outbreak of Ebola, that was tested on patients during that outbreak and seven of them survived<sup>4</sup>,* which at the time was a sign that the serum was effective: you could devise a serum from the blood of people who had survived the virus that would help others in combating the virus, although it has been much disputed and there are other studies that have come up since that have said that the common factor on those who survived was their age, and it had nothing to do with the

---

<sup>3</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140420044345/http://www.who.int/csr/disease/ebola/faq-ebola/en/>

<sup>4</sup>[http://jid.oxfordjournals.org/content/179/Supplement\\_1/S18.1.long](http://jid.oxfordjournals.org/content/179/Supplement_1/S18.1.long)

serum.<sup>5</sup> It was a highly contested study, but that's part of the official story as well.

There's a couple of products that are now being experimentally tested, as I'm sure you've heard about in recent days and weeks, and as we'll get into more lately in this presentation, one of those is an *RNA interference drug developed by Tekmira Pharmaceuticals<sup>6</sup> under a \$160 million DoD contract, the DoD of the US government of course.*

*And Mapp Biopharmaceuticals has developed a product called ZMapp<sup>7</sup>, a so-called "plantibody" produced in genetically engineered tobacco plants.* Again, we'll get more into that but basically the virus is engineered into the tobacco plants that then develop antibodies which are harvested from the plants and used as part of this concoction, this anti-viral cocktail.

So, that is the original story on treatment and the other basic guidelines on what this disease is. Let's look at the timeline of this current outbreak of 2014. It actually supposedly started in *December of 2013*, "patient zero" has been identified as a 2-year-old girl in South-eastern Guinea<sup>8</sup>, who died of the disease in December 6 of 2013 and transferred it to her mother and grandmother and then other family members started to get ill.

*The first case identified and reported as Ebola surfaced in Guinea*

---

<sup>5</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140808211224/http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17940976>

<sup>6</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140402024146/http://www.tekmira.com/pipeline/tkm-ebola.php>

<sup>7</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140808204756/http://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/outbreaks/guinea/qa-experimental-treatments.html>

<sup>8</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140811173907/http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/ebola/11025650/Toddler-was-patient-zero-who-triggered-Ebola-outbreak.html>



in February, and it wasn't until March 22Nd that Le Médecins Sans Frontières (Doctors Without Borders) put out a special notice<sup>9</sup> that *24 of their personnel were in Guinea dealing with an Ebola outbreak, including doctors nurses, logistitians and hygiene and sanitation experts, and that there were 33 tonnes of medical supplies and more staff on the way.* That was March 22Nd, but interestingly enough, the earliest actual official announcement that I can find from the ministry of Health in Guinea is from March 24th: two days after Doctors Without Borders started to raise the alarm<sup>10</sup>. *The Guinea Ministry of Health came and confirmed an Ebola outbreak with 86 suspected cases and 59 deaths.*

And then on March 31st, one week later, *the first confirmed case appeared in Liberia,* and at that time the death toll stood at 78. Skip ahead to early April, *west Africa begins mobilizing to stop the spread of the disease.* The details on this are rather shaky, but it obviously involves closer measurements and the cracking down on border crossings and things of that sort.

By the end of May there was the *first confirmed cases in Sierra Leone, and the death toll had reached 187 at that point.* On June 16th *the WHO released reports<sup>11</sup> that confirmed 333 deaths,* making it, by that point, the deadliest outbreak ever. The previous most deadly outbreak was in fact the first ever outbreak

---

<sup>9</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140325213008/http://www.msf.org/article/guinea-ebola-epidemic-declared-msf-launches-emergency-response>

<sup>10</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140729182244/http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/epidemic-a-pandemic-alert-and-response/outbreak-news/4064-ebola-virus-disease-in-guinea-24-march-2014.html>

<sup>11</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140729182309/http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/epidemic-a-pandemic-alert-and-response/outbreak-news/4165-dashboard-ebola-virus-disease-evd-in-west-africa-16-june-2014.html>

in Zaire, the Congo, in 1976, in which 280 people died<sup>12</sup>. Out 318 reported cases, a staggering 88 percent fatality rate. Which again, gives you a sense of just how deadly this virus can be at certain times. But it's also important to note, as some people in the comment thread on our open source investigation on CorbettReport.com<sup>13</sup> do note, that *the deadlier a virus is, the less likely it is to go pandemic or epidemic*, because it's difficult for it to transfer from host to host if the hosts keep dying off very quickly.

So, an 88% fatality rate is of course very worrying for those who do contract it, but it's much less likely for it to go pandemic, or global, if people are dying in those types of numbers. So there is a certain fatality percentage tipping point, I guess, at which point the likelihood of a pandemic actually goes down - something to really keep in mind.

The current fatality rate in the current 2014 outbreak has been estimated at something like 64%, and again, this numbers keep changing on a regular basis, so we'll keep up to date with that, I suppose. That's what being reported.

**Geopolitics** Skip ahead to July 3rd, *the WHO convenes a ministerial meeting in Ghana to decide on cross-border collaboration*. And that meeting is interesting, of course, it talks about the need for the WHO to establish a sub-regional control center in Guinea to act as a coordinating platform to consolidate technical support to West-African countries by all major

---

<sup>12</sup>[https://web.archive.org/web/20140917173043/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_Ebola\\_outbreaks](https://web.archive.org/web/20140917173043/https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Ebola_outbreaks)

<sup>13</sup><https://www.corbettreport.com/ebola-panic-or-pandemic-an-open-source-investigation/>

partners, and assist in resource mobilization<sup>14</sup>.

Again, just more blah blah blah to summarize the point that yes, the WHO basically handed itself a lot of powers to help combat this crisis. They talk in some more detail (and this will be linked up in the shownotes to see what it actually involves<sup>15</sup>). By July 25th we have the *first probable case in Nigeria*, and July 30th *Germany agrees to accept two Ebola-infected patients at the request of the WHO*<sup>16</sup>, a pretty unusual step, as there are not many country clamoring to accept Ebola-infected patients for treatment at any previous time in any previous outbreak, this has not happened before, so it is interesting that it is precedent-setting. Although at least in the German case, going to University Hospital in Hamburg, they use very stringent BSL4 type airlocks and other procedures to make sure that it is a very tightly controlled environment.

However, in August 2014, just earlier this month, we have Dr Kent Brantly and Nancy Writeball, two American aid workers in Liberia transferred to Emory University Hospital in Atlanta for treatment of their own. And again, I'll let you take a look at the open source investigation we're doing at CorbettReport.com, but if you actually compare the steps at the Hamburg University Hospital vs this Emory University Hospital in terms of quarantining, or keeping this subjects sealed off from the outside public, it seems that it's nothing more than a

---

<sup>14</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140829100658/http://www.un.org/apps/news/story.asp?NewsID=48202>

<sup>15</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140710015405/http://www.afro.who.int/en/media-centre/pressreleases/item/6695-health-ministers-agree-on-priority-actions-to-end-ebola-outbreak-in-west-africa.html>

<sup>16</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140730235855/http://www.dw.de/treating-the-ebola-virus-in-hamburg/a-17821225>

glass partition keeping them from the general public, which is very interesting, because previous protocols established by US-AMRIID and published in the USAMRIID documents<sup>17</sup> from 2002 show that there was the requirement of BSL4 (biosafety laboratory level 4) safety requirements at every stage of treating and handling an Ebola-infected patient, and yet now the CDC claims to operate this Emory University Hospital "special isolation ward", where there isn't even, as far as I'm aware, the proper airlocks and procedures in place that would be required of a BSL4 type environment.

Again, there are some precedent-setting things happening here. And I don't think it necessarily means this is something to worry about, even if you are in Atlanta, but it's important because of the precedent it's setting.

Just last week there was this *Spanish missionary flown from Liberia for treatment in Spain*, so this is becoming something that, again, as I say, it's a precedent that already seems to have been accepted into standard practice.

On August 11th, the latest update from the WHO at the time of recording this podcast<sup>18</sup>, *the WHO reported 1848 cases total, in Liberia, Nigeria, Sierra Leone and Guinea, with 1013 reported deaths*. So, again, somewhere around that 60% fatality rate, as of current recording.

OK, so that's the official story. The question is, so what? Obviously the government, the WHO, the establishment media, they're all pimping this Ebola virus fear-porn. They want you

---

<sup>17</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20150503040729/http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a429519.pdf>

<sup>18</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140812015711/http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/epidemic-a-pandemic-alert-and-response/outbreak-news/4242-ebola-virus-disease-west-africa-11-august-2014.html>

to be afraid of this, so, why should we care? Why do they want us to be afraid? Does this matter? Is this just a distraction?

Well, a few points to be made here. First of all, *the fear that they are pumping out now is used as an excuse for either the implementation of medical martial law, or at the very least to the softening of the public when it comes to issues of medical martial law*, more on which in a moment.

*The fear is used to sell the public on the efficacy or necessity of expensive new treatments*, more on which in a moment.

*And the fear is used to prepare the public for a worldwide pandemic that wipes out large numbers of people*, more on which in a moment.

**Medical Martial Law 2014** Let's talk about medical martial law. What is medical martial law? Well, I'm sure you're probably familiar by now with the concept of martial law. That is the rule by fiat decree of military or police authorities, the suspension of civil rights, etcetera, in a time of declared emergency. And this medical martial law, as the name implies, is martial law done for the purpose of a medical emergency.

Obviously, for delivering medical aid (because the authorities care so much about you) they must suspend your inalienable human rights, that are not granted by any governments, but they can be revoked, apparently, in times of emergency, or that's what the authorities (so called/self described) would want you to believe.

Something for political philosophers to ponder, at any rate. And for people who actually have to live through the prospect of medical martial law, something that they have to do more than just ponder.

For more of this issue of medical martial law, you can take

a look at our full complete podcast-length exploration of that subject back in episode 86 of the Corbett Report Podcast<sup>19</sup>, which will be linked in the shownotes for this presentation, so that you can go and familiarize yourself with more about this idea of medical martial law.

But I guess the question is, is this something that is just coming into view now? is it something that they're just trying to seed into the public consciousness now? Of course not! This has been a very long, very careful preparation of the public for the concept that "we may just have to suspend all of your rights and rule over you with the military in the event of a medical emergency."

And just for one example, one data point on the chart that paints the picture, we can go back to October 4th 2005, at a presidential White House press conference, in which a very scripted question is asked to George Bush, about the then developing concern over avian influenza.

**Reporter:** Mr. President, you've been thinking a lot about pandemic flu in the rest of the United States, if that should occur. I was wondering, the Secretary has said that first responders in the state and local governments are not prepared for something like that. To what extent are you concerned about that after Katrina and Rita, and is that one of the reasons you're interested in the idea of using Defense assets to respond to something as broad and long-lasting as a flu might be?

**George W. Bush:** Yes. Thank you for the question.

---

<sup>19</sup><http://www.corbettreport.com/episode-086-medical-martial-law/>

I am concerned about avian flu. I'm concerned about what an avian flu outbreak could mean for the United States and the world. I thought through the scenarios of what an avian flu outbreak could mean. I tried to get a better handle on what the decision-making-process would be by reading Mr. Berry's book on the influenza outbreak in 1918. I would recommend it.

The policy decisions for a president dealing with an avian flu outbreak are difficult. One example: if we had an outbreak somewhere in the US, do we not then quarantine that part of the country, and how do you then enforce a quarantine? One thing is to shut down airplanes. It's another thing to prevent people from coming in to get exposed to the avian flu. And who best to be able to effect a quarantine? One option is the use of a military that's able to plan and move. So that's why I put it on the table.

I think it's an important debate for congress to have. I noticed the other day, evidently some governors didn't like it. I understand that. I was the Commander in Chief of the National Guard, and proudly so. And frankly, I didn't like to have the president telling me how to be the Commander in Chief of the Texas Guard. But Congress needs to take a look at circumstances that may need to vest the capacity to the President to be able to move beyond that debate. And one such catastrophe, one such challenge, would be an avian flu outbreak.

Source: *George W. Bush - October 4, 2005*<sup>20</sup>.

Indeed, well, how do you enforce a quarantine? Who would be best able to effect a quarantine? Very interesting questions indeed from the Commander in Chief. And unfortunately not rhetorical questions - questions that have been answered in various ways by various legislative moves on the political table.

Including the creation of something called the Model State Emergency Health Powers Act<sup>21</sup>. And that mouthful was brought to you by the CDC, which created a grant to give to the author of this legislation. It's a legislation template that is passed individually by states in the US, and so far has been passed by 33 states.

And this legislation is pretty worrying, if you actually start to read it. It allows governors of states that passed this legislation to *quarantine and/or vaccinate entire populations with or without their consent*, to *overturn property rights*, to use whatever facilities the government deems necessary in whatever ways it deems necessary for the implementation of quarantines or delivering of medical aid or whatever they deem it to be necessary for.

It also specifically allows the mobilization of "*organized militias*" to deal with the emergency, which includes the National State Guard, it includes any other type of state-recognized military assembly, and, of course, it allows governors to *ration goods* as they see fit during the crisis.

So, it is pretty worrying in terms of the suspension of civil liberties that it has embedded right into it. And as I say, this was created in 2001, in the wake of 9/11, during the Anthrax scare.

---

<sup>20</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iUcAqHZB0bc>

<sup>21</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140710184825/http://www.publichealthlaw.net/MSEHPA/MSEHPA2.pdf>



It was revised in December of 2001, it's so far been passed by 33 states, the last time we saw a push for the passage of this act was back in the 2009 swine flu scare. And you can see more in episode 86 of this podcast about that: how it was used at that time to help pass it in even more legislatures around the country.

So that's something to keep an eye on, is your state signed up to the Model State Emergency Health Powers Act? Has it passed that legislation in whatever form? And, if not, is it thinking about doing so as a result of the Ebola scare? Something to keep in mind for this particular scare. But again, this all seems very theoretical. How about the actual implementation of medical martial law as a result of Ebola 2014?

That is in fact taking place in the affected countries, in West Africa. Although you wouldn't know that from searching the term medical martial law, or any combination of those terms, in a Google search. This is pointed out in an excellent article<sup>22</sup> by Truthstream News, which I'll point you to as a good source on this emergent Ebola scare. It points out that if you search medical martial law or any sort of combination of those types of terms you won't find anything. But if you replace martial law with simply troops or soldiers, you start to see some very disturbing stories popping out through the news searches.

One of which<sup>23</sup> shows that last week *Liberia declared a 90-day state of emergency, and the suspension of "some civil liberties,"* and the people in Liberia are very scared of what this means.

---

<sup>22</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140811034808/http://truthstreammedia.com/want-to-know-what-martial-law-over-ebola-will-look-like-check-out-whats-happening-right-now-in-africa/>

<sup>23</sup><http://www.foxnews.com/health/2014/08/07/no-ebola-drug-for-africans-troops-deployed/>

As we can see by stories from the AP and other outlets that are reporting that, for example, *relatives are now hiding members of their family that have developed a fever for fear of quarantine*, for fear that the entire family will be scooped up and thrown into quarantine, and the healthy members of that family will be in quarantine with infected Ebola patients, thus much more likely to actually get the disease.

We see other similar stories along those lines, including families that just dumped the bodies of dead family members in the street, because, again, they don't want authorities knocking on their door to quarantine them all.

We've also seen Sierra Leone deploy an entire battalion of soldiers in order to enforce their own quarantine restrictions<sup>24</sup>, and so far in the States we've seen the CDC, the Center for Disease Creation - I mean, Center for Disease Control - declare a *level 1 emergency*<sup>25</sup>, which is the highest level, highest state of emergency, something it has not declared since the 2009 swine flu crisis, which of course, killed far, far, far fewer people than the average flu. But let's not worry about that...

And the WHO has declared a "*Public Health Emergency of International Concern*"<sup>26</sup>." This is an exceptionally important think that I've seen no one else picking up on in the alternative media,

---

<sup>24</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140806123944/http://www.nytimes.com/2014/08/06/world/africa/sierra-leone-deploys-troops-in-ebola-crisis.html>

<sup>25</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140810004019/http://www.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2014/08/ebola-developments-trigger-cdcs-highest-response-level>

<sup>26</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140808231118/http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/epidemic-a-pandemic-alert-and-response/outbreak-news/4241-ebola-virus-disease-west-africa-8-august-2014.html>

or reporting on.

So, what is a Public Health Emergency of International Concern? This is a special state that can be declared by the WHO under something called the International Health Regulations<sup>27</sup>, which is an agreement that's been signed on to by 194 countries around the globe (which I think is just about every country on the planet) and all of those signatories to that agreement allowed the WHO, in the event of a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC), to gather and share data on the crisis, or *restrict travel anywhere in the world with or without governmental consent*. The WHO can declare that travel into or out of any given country is not allowed, under this agreement.

Now, obviously, how is the WHO ever going to enforce something like that? The WHO doesn't have an army to do anything of that sort. So how would it happen? Well, disturbingly enough, I did find an article that was quoting Stephen Morrison, of CSIS. No, not the Canadian Security Intelligence Service, the other CSIS - [the Center for Strategic and International Studies], a globalist government think-tank populated by Zbigniew Brzezinski and those types of globalist fellow travelers. Stephen Morrison is [the director of CSIS' Global Health Policy Center], and he's talked about how this could mean mobilizing the NATO assets in order to help implement this Public Health Emergency of International Concern and, directly quoting from Stephen Morrison: *"The US military knows how to operate in these environments"*.<sup>28</sup>

---

<sup>27</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140720220606/http://www.who.int/ihr/about/en/>

<sup>28</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140808030031/http://www.newsweek.com/what-happens-if-who-declares-ebola-emergency-international-concern-263434>

And it's just for the purposes of delivering aid, and distributing medical supplies, and helping workers, I'm sure... only sunshine, rainbows and lollipops, and, oh... if they have to force quarantine an entire country they could deploy NATO assets to do so. Pretty worrying stuff, and that, my friends, is what the real medical martial law will look like in the scenario of a potential future pandemic.

And, again, I'm not saying that's Ebola 2014, I'm saying that these are the types of things that we're now being led into and conditioned to believe are necessary and just par for the course. And we're being introduced into these ideas right now. This is a preparatory phase that we're going through. And I think it's important that we understand what this potentially means, and that we fight against this measures now, when there's less of a steak on the table. Because, rest assured, this will be used for the delivery of sunshine, rainbows and lollipops the first time, or the first two times, or the first ten times that it's used. But after that, after people get conditioned and used to it, then it can be used for restricting travel anywhere in the world: "Oh, there's a pandemic in your country, now people can't come in or out". Wouldn't that be convenient as a political tool for anyone in positions of power at the WHO.

And I think we should be very, very wary of the people who are in positions to declare pandemics at the WHO, as we found out in that 2009 swine flu crisis. Again, we talked about that at length at the time, but long story short, the European Council and others found in their investigations<sup>29</sup> after the fact that the WHO declaration of pandemic during the swine flu crisis was substantially motivated by the economic ties of the people on

---

<sup>29</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20150503001419/http://www.bmj.com/content/340/bmj.c3033>

the board that the WHO set up to declare a pandemic. They had ties to the vaccine manufacturers that made billions of dollars on the wake of that crisis (on the wake of that scare), ties to the swine flu vaccines that were suddenly railroaded through and put on the markets on the wake of that scare. Does that sound familiar to anything that is happening right now?

Well, it should, because of course, I have no doubt you've heard of ZMapp! Hey, have you heard of this new experimental Ebola virus treatment? It's called ZMapp! Once again, it's ZMapp! By Mapp Biopharmaceuticals and distributed by Leaf-Bio Biopharmaceuticals out of San Diego. I'm sure you've heard about this numerous times over the past couple of weeks, I certainly have, and you may have heard (but probably not) about some of the other people who've been involved in the development of this experimental Ebola treatment, like Defyrus and the US government, and certain interesting agencies there that we'll get into in a moment. Also the Public Health Agency of Canada - some interesting players involved in the background of the development of this. And we'll get more into that, but let's just take a look at the way that ZMapp! ZMapp! ZMapp! has been seeded into the consciousness of the public over the last couple of weeks.

*Coverage from Bloomberg News<sup>30</sup>:*

**News reporter:** So as you know there is no cure for Ebola, no vaccine available for clinical use at this time. Normally patients are given fluids, blood transfusions and antibiotics with the hope that their immune systems can fight off the virus. But with a case fatality rate of up to 90

---

<sup>30</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IHYrM0ykSZY>

Well, we may now have a breakthrough, a potential one at the very least. Because at the request of the US charity that worked with the two infected Americans, Dr. Ken Brantly and aid worker Dr. Nancy Writebol, they were administered an experimental serum for Ebola, and are both seeing remarkable improvements in their conditions. That's encouraging news. The treatment, called ZMapp, never tested on humans until now, is being developed by San Diego-based Mapp Biopharmaceuticals. The drug is made with tobacco plants and with Ebola antibodies harvested from infected mice. Until now, Mapp Biopharmaceuticals has been a little known company with only 9 employees, a little more known now.

*Coverage from ABC News*<sup>31</sup>

**News reporter:** What is this anti serum?

**Dr. Richard Besser:** It's an antibody product. When your body is infected with any organism, you develop something called antibodies, your immune factors that help destroy that particular germ. This product is derived from monkeys, so monkeys who were infected with Ebola, the product was derived from them, then manufactured outside of monkeys to create this antibody.

What we understand in that the drug was given to both individuals, Nancy Writebol and Dr. Brantly,

---

<sup>31</sup>Video is no longer available, but it is featured in the video version of this podcast episode, at the 35'15 mark.

it was given to them in Liberia. It was given to Dr Brantly before he traveled, at a time in which he was supposedly quite ill, and according to Samaritan's Purse he responded dramatically, responded quickly to that product. Nancy Writebol received one dose, did not respond that much, received a second dose and then from what we understand there, their condition has both improved.

*Coverage from Channel 6 News<sup>32</sup>:*

**News reporter:** One doctor described their turnaround when taking the experimental medication as miraculous. The serum has not even been tested on humans yet, and medical experts say much more testing is needed.

**Anthony Fauci:** I do hope that it was as impressive as being described, because if it is, that bodes very well for that particular product.

Truer words have never been spoken on MSM. Yes, it does bode very well for that particular product, doesn't it? And this is like one coordinated advertising campaign for ZMapp! ZMapp! ZMapp! Did I tell you the name of that product? That experimental Ebola treatment that hasn't even entered human trials yet? It's ZMapp!

Very interesting, the type of wall to wall blanket coverage this has garnered, and the very interesting connections: that this was administered at the request of Samaritan's Purse, that organization that employed the two aid workers, and there's

---

<sup>32</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CdKk4tZsLjE>

more about that connection, and interesting things going on behind the scenes related to ZMapp.

Let's take a look at what ZMapp is exactly. It's a cocktail of three monoclonal antibodies. Basically these antibodies have been derived from genetically engineered tobacco plants that, as I said earlier, have been engineered with the virus that has been extracted from monkeys, and it's been engineered into the plants, which then produce antibodies which are harvested.

Part of the cocktail of antibodies that are involved in this treatment. ZMapp was apparently *identified as a drug candidate in January 2013, and has not entered clinical testing on humans yet*. The WHO, lo and behold, *is now putting a team of bioethicists together to examine and evaluate the guidelines for using experimental drugs in emergency situations*. Because now they're thinking about rewriting the entire rules and procedures for the implementation of new drugs on the back of this.

That should be worrying for anyone in the planet. I don't care how conspiratorial or unconspiratorial you are, the idea that now the very rules and procedures for testing and experimenting and bringing drugs to market are being rewritten because, you know, in the wake of an emergency you may need to do it suddenly. That profit incentive, the billions upon billions of dollars of potential profit does put the incentive on the part of companies to foster, to allow, to even create and spread various panics in order to get their experimental drugs passed. And we'll have even more to say on the potential positive economic effects for various companies in the wake of this - what I'm calling the *treatment scam* aspect of this Ebola scare.

But just a quick look at Samaritan's Purse, which, as I say, the ZMapp was used at the request of. How did Samaritan's Purse know about ZMapp, how did they research that, what type of



connections did they have to Mapp Biopharmaceuticals, which had just 9 employees just a few months ago and is now in charge of the world's hottest product for what the news coverage is telling us?

Well, Samaritan's Purse also hired a plane to fly those aid workers out, and someone did the background research on this (I'll link to that in the shownotes) that shows that the plane that they used specifically was operated by Phoenix Air and is a suspected CIA rendition torture plane<sup>33</sup>. One of those planes that have been tracked around the world by various plane-spotters that were taking note of the various planes that the CIA was suspected of having used in their rendition and torture programs, back last decade, back when the CIA tortured and rendered people, clearly that's not happening now...

So that's an interesting little connection there as well. And here's some more interesting connections with the ZMapp. ZMapp is developed from two different types of procedures: one is called MD003, developed by Mapp Biopharmaceuticals with the aid of USAMRIID<sup>34</sup>. For people who don't know, that basically the US biowarfare wing of the dept of defense. The same ones that brought you the anthrax scares, by the way, the anthrax attacks in 2001, as we've talked about before in this podcast.

But also the other component of this cocktail is ZMAB, which was developed by a company called Defyrus, out of Canada, and

---

<sup>33</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140808213709/http://www.nakedcapitalism.com/2014/08/ebola-loose-ends-patients-employer-plane-serum-company-funding-sudden-entry-world-bank-oddly-silent-administration.html>

<sup>34</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140807223137/http://www.forbes.com/sites/davidkroll/2014/08/05/ebola-secret-serum-small-biopharma-the-army-and-big-tobacco/>

linked to the Public Health Agency of Canada and some other development partners. So there's some interesting governmental links to this ZMapp scam that's being foisted on the public right now.

And there's another treatment that you might have heard of ad nauseam in the last few days. *Tekmira Pharmaceuticals has developed an RNA-based therapy under a \$140 million Department of Defense. On July 4th the FDA placed the human trials of this treatment on hold*<sup>35</sup> - on full hold, meaning that there was no possibility for them to proceed with any type of clinical testing on humans.

That same day *their shares dropped 16%*, in one day, the largest single-day decline in months. Yet on August 8th, just a few days ago, the *FDA allowed - they went from full hold to partial hold on the clinical tests*<sup>36</sup>, meaning that now Tekmira can at least go ahead with partial clinical testing and make some of this available, obviously to people in the field there on West Africa for testing. And lo and behold, *the stock price of Tekmira spiked 45%*.

And this relates back to an interesting little article<sup>37</sup> that talks about how the investors are looking for the investment opportunity here in the Ebola outbreak, but they haven't found one yet. That article was written shortly before this Tekmira an-

---

<sup>35</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140708224938/http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2014-07-03/tekmira-ebola-drug-trial-placed-on-hold-by-u-s-fda.html>

<sup>36</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140810053612/http://money.cnn.com/2014/08/08/investing/ebola-drug-approval-tekmira/>

<sup>37</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140809170157/http://www.csmonitor.com/Business/Latest-News-Wires/2014/0808/Ebola-outbreak-is-not-an-investing-opportunity>

nouncement was made. So I guess Tekmira is the hot stock to buy, if you're looking to profit from Ebola. And who isn't, right?

So that's the treatment scam aspect of this. Just like in the swine flu and the huge incentive to the vaccine manufacturers in the swine flu scare, there is a huge incentive to the biowarfare-connected companies that are working on Ebola treatments of various kinds.

And there's more to say on the biowarfare aspect of this. So let's take a look at that. First of all, let's just take a look at the official story of Ebola, and how it suddenly appeared in Congo and Sudan in 1976. It was previously completely unknown, its origins are still unknown, they're guessing the natural reservoir are bats, but they don't know. Well, are there any other mysterious biological agents that appeared on the scene at the time? Of course, there's HIV/AIDS, later identified by the CDC in 1981. Its origins, of course, still unknown, and interestingly enough... let's just make this connection. Both Ebola and HIV operate in the same way. This comes from a Reuters article that was posted in December 1st 2001: *"AIDS And Ebola Found To Use Same Mechanism To Spread In Body"*.<sup>38</sup>

"The viruses that cause AIDS and Ebola, two deadly, contagious and highly feared diseases, spread through the body using the same mechanism, U.S.-based researchers said on Friday.

The researchers, led by Dr. Paul Bieniasz of the Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center at New York's Rockefeller University, said they hoped

---

<sup>38</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140718115916/http://www.rense.com/general17/aidsandebola.htm>

their finding might lead to the discovery of new drugs to help treat HIV and Ebola infections.

Their study, published in the December issue of the journal *Nature Medicine*, shows HIV and Ebola use a protein called Tsg101 to bud from the cells they infect.

Both viruses hijack cells, inject their genetic material, and turn the cells into little virus factories. New copies of the virus "bud" from the cells in one of the steps of this process, before going in search of new cells to infect.

As both HIV and Ebola bud, Tsg101 attaches to the virus and helps it to emerge from the cell, the researchers reported.

They said it might be possible to design a drug that interferes with this process. That would presumably prevent the spread of the virus in an infected person.

"It's remarkable to see two such different viruses share a common budding mechanism," Bieniasz said in a statement."

It is remarkable to see two completely different viruses that both spontaneously popped up in Africa in the late 1970s using the exact same mechanism never seen before or since in any other virus to spread throughout the human body creating deadly epidemics, isn't it?

And that's fuel for the fire for the talk that has long circulated about AIDS as a bioweapon, a topic that is ripe for further investigation in future editions of this podcast. But let's take

a look now at this specific instance of a biowarfare as it relates to the Ebola outbreak going on right now. This has been pointed out by some researchers, including the birdflu666 blog, which noted<sup>39</sup> that Tulane University's recently collaborated with USAMRIID back in 2007 on a project funded by the National Institute of Health, to the tune of 3.8 million dollars to develop test kits for detection of hemorrhagic fever. And the testing for that took place in Sierra Leone. Hemorrhagic fever, like Ebola, in this case it was specifically directed at Lassa hemorrhagic fever. But again, very similar in terms of their effects. 2009: Tulane University researchers lead a \$7.1 million dollar granted NIH project to continue that test kit development. And that has gone on presumably in the years since.

Fast forward to 2014, on July 23rd the Sierra Leone government announced that Tulane University would stop Ebola testing during the current Ebola outbreak<sup>40</sup>. This relates back to a particular hospital that Tulane University researchers are at, and which their grant funds from the NIH are helping to fund, which was the main Ebola testing and treatment facility in Sierra Leone during the onset and early stages of this crisis. Again, Tulane University researchers were helping to fund the Kenema Government Hospital there. And that was where the testing that they were working on was taking place, testing for Ebola in this case.

Now, interestingly enough, in August 7th the National In-

---

<sup>39</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140805000525/http://www.globalresearch.ca/what-are-us-biological-warfare-researchers-doing-in-the-ebola-zone/5394582>

<sup>40</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140811214710/http://birdflu666.wordpress.com/2014/07/24/sierra-leone-orders-us-bioweapons-lab-at-centre-of-ebola-outbreak-to-be-relocated-tulane-university-to-stop-ebola-testing/>

stitute for Health announced that it would not renew the Tulane University contract in November. It was due to expire in November of this year - NIH is not gonna renew this contract for the testing of these test kits for hemorrhagic fever. And again, interestingly enough, the Sierra Leone government has announced that the Kenema government hospital will no longer be the facility that is going to treat or accept new Ebola patients. They're now going to a separate research facility not connected with Tulane University, whose researchers were connected in with USAMRIID and the US biological warfare program under the rubric of bioterrorism, which was touted in the Tulane University press documents that were given out at the time of the announcement of these NIH grants.

We have this biowarfare/bioterror connected researchers at the heart of the outbreak in Sierra Leone, and the Sierra Leone government apparently tried to basically kick them out or stop them from doing their work. And the NIH is now dusting their hands of the whole thing. Very interesting, and I think there's going to be more to come out of that, so we'll continue to follow that thread.

Speaking of the information that we can look at with regards to this biowarfare aspect, let's take a look at the comment thread at that Ebola pandemic open source investigation on CorbettReport.com. One of the commenters there writes<sup>41</sup>:

"A possible connection I find interesting is how the British TV series Utopia centers its story about depopulation through creating a virus, a "Russian flu", that is supposed to kill thousands of people.

---

<sup>41</sup><http://www.corbettreport.com/ebola-panic-or-pandemic-an-open-source-investigation/comment-page-1/#comment-5175>

A group led by an MI5 agent called Milner (reference to Albert Milner, of the Rhodes Trust, the secret society that Carroll Quigley has identified in Tragedy & Hope) wants to prompt the rest of the earth's population to take a sterilizing vaccine targeted to leave only a small elite race able to bear children.”

That is pretty much every part of the propaganda that I see as part of the long term conditioning process going on here. Not only is it a Russian flu, obviously playing into the current cold war mentality, but the idea that this is a sterilizing vaccine that's forced on the population to weed out the population and leave only the elite able to bear children. Again, so much of that is really what we're looking at long term, in term of this endgame of depopulation scenarios.

And again, just on the note of Russian and the Russian aspect of this, and the new cold war, the demonization of Russia in the popular press, we see joan.redmond noting<sup>42</sup>, on this comment thread:

Back on the 5th of May 2004 a Russian scientist, Antonina Presnyakova, died after sticking herself with a needle containing the deadly Ebola. Gary Bell on his radio show “A View from Space” on Toronto AM640, stated that she was splicing Ebola with the Marberg Virus when the accident happened and she documented her illness as she was dying. I have not found where he got his information from, however one of the original reports on

---

<sup>42</sup><http://www.corbetteport.com/ebola-panic-or-pandemic-an-open-source-investigation/comment-page-1/#comment-5214>

the Moscow Times.com<sup>43</sup> does mention the Mar-berg Virus at the end of the article (that is now only available on archive.org)

[Wikipedia] refers to this laboratory, The Vektor State Research Center of Virology and Biotechnology outside Novosibirsk, as a facility that “has, at least in Soviet times, been a nexus for biological warfare research”<sup>44</sup> Judith Millar of the New York Times (Yes that Judith Millar) also refers to it as a former weapons lab.<sup>45</sup>

Again, this is the type of story that I imagine would be jumped all over if and when they decide to go with a Russian flu-type scenario: “Oh look, it’s the Russians and their biowarfare, they were the one who were engineering this all along.” That’s something to at least keep in mind as a card that they may have up their sleeve, if and when they want to go along that route and start revealing the agenda but blaming it on Russia, instead of USAMRIID and all kind of biowarfare research institutes on the US side of things.

But I guess that brings us to the question of why is this important, what does this really mean, where is this really going? As I said earlier, that description of that British series Utopia, which I haven’t seen, so I can’t attest to it myself, but that description

---

<sup>43</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20040606040232/http://www.themoscowtimes.com/stories/2004/05/26/018.html>

<sup>44</sup>[https://web.archive.org/web/20140807222853/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/State\\_Research\\_Center\\_of\\_Virology\\_and\\_Biotechnology\\_VECTOR](https://web.archive.org/web/20140807222853/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/State_Research_Center_of_Virology_and_Biotechnology_VECTOR)

<sup>45</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20090403033422/http://www.nytimes.com/2004/05/25/world/russian-scientist-dies-in-ebola-accident-at-former-weapons-lab.html>



sounds very much like what I think the ultimate endgame depopulation scenario really is.

And that's what I think all of this is really leading us to, it's leading us towards the conditioning of the acceptance of this idea of pandemic threat and the suspension of civil liberties, the eventually the large scale releases, oh we're all gonna die because of this viruses that continue to spread and we've seen again so much conditioning for that coming out through Hollywood and the propaganda machinery in recent years. Including a 2011 movie called Contagion, which features interestingly in the story. Let's go to this happy character, Dr. Charles Arntzen, of the Arizona State University, who "pioneered the process of producing antigenic proteins from genetically modified plants." i.e. the very process that is used in the creation of ZMapp! ZMapp! ZMapp!

So, they, the ZMapp firm, Mapp Biopharmaceuticals used this process pioneered by Dr. Arntzen and Arizona State University to get their antibodies from tobacco plants.

"I was involved in the initial research funded by the US Army, which gave a grant to ASU, and the idea was we would use plant biotechnology to make both a vaccine and the monoclonal antibody"

- Dr. Arntzen.

Again, it's direct US Army involvement in the creation of this technology, which is then used in the creation of ZMapp! ZMapp! ZMapp! So, Dr. Charles Arntzen, one of the people pioneering this technology, what does he have to say about the idea of depopulation?

Let's take this again from Truthstream Media, which is doing excellent work on this issue, and an excellent video<sup>46</sup> they

---

<sup>46</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140808113032/http://>

put together highlights some very disturbing comments that Dr. Arntzen made in the 2012 summit on the "problem" of the 8 billion people that are soon to be on this planet.

"This is Dr. Charles Arntzen, of Arizona State University. He's the guy who's behind growing vaccines in tobacco plants for DARPA. He's also the guy behind the experimental treatments given to the Ebola-infected Americans that flew in. And he's also this guy, that we reported on back in July 2013 joking about culling large swaths of the population with a genetically engineered virus.

And he's one of these elite scientists in the cutting edge of bio-engineering who are using it to develop things like edible vaccines: he was gonna create a banana vaccine to give to the Third World, he had a bunch of Rockefeller Foundation funding and so forth. Now we have medicine grown into the plant or the fruit, and you can use basically any medicine.

And speaking of growing things into plants, he's also worked with Dr. Mich Hein. Of Epicyte. Epicyte was really popular in the early 2000 because they were growing pharma corn with genetically engineered corn with anti-sperm antibodies in it.

And he's also worked for DARPA. One of the things he did was working on stockpiling an anthrax vaccine or treatment for the military. But

---

[//truthstreammedia.com/scientist-working-on-govt-ebola-drug-joked-about-culling-population-with-gmo-virus/](http://truthstreammedia.com/scientist-working-on-govt-ebola-drug-joked-about-culling-population-with-gmo-virus/)

---

his words at this lecture here are just sick, it's incredible what he said. Listen to his response about should we feed the world when there's 8 billion people.

Considering the negative social and environmental impact of the human population growth, shouldn't we try to restrict food supply and return our population to a more sustainable level? On that same note, should there be 8 billion people on this world? Is there a limit on the number of people our planet can sustain? How do we go about this politely? Should we invade Mars? And should we concern ourselves with feeding 8 billion in the first place, or should we allow the natural forces of carrying capacity to affect or limit population growth - placing our emotions aside?

Has anybody seen Contagion? That's the answer! Use genetic engineering to create a better virus!

[Unintelligible<sup>47</sup>]

Oh, it's just so funny to talk about a quarter of the world's population dying of horrible diseases. Yes, for those of you who didn't catch that audio, he's talking about Contagion, the Hollywood movie: the idea that a genetically engineered virus

---

<sup>47</sup>To me, that is. (Transcriber's note)

could wipe out 25% of the world's population. And this is coming from a guy who genetically engineers viruses. Isn't that awesome? Of course it is not - it is deeply worrying but not surprising, unfortunately, to anyone that knows the depopulation agenda that is the ultimate long term endgame.

And I think that the most effective way of implementing that would be through some type of engineered virus. And I think that is, at the very least, a card that is in the deck. And to think that we should understand that, and that these types of Ebola outbreaks and the like, that I don't believe that at this point in 2014 this is going to be the implementation of this, but it's another data point on the conditioning of the public to expect this type of pandemic viruses to ultimately start wiping out vast segments of the population.

And, who knows, one day it might be released by whatever shadowy boogeyman terrorist group they decide to invent, that may or may not even exist, that is supposedly releasing these bioterror bioweapons, and who knows where from or how they did it but, you know, they're taking out greater and greater swathes of the globe and we need to be hammed into tighter and tighter and more controlled cities, and civil liberties? what's that? That's only for people who aren't in emergencies. Etc, etc.

I think this is extremely important to understand. If you don't know about the depopulation agenda I suggest you go to [CorbettReport.com](http://CorbettReport.com) and type in the word depopulation into the search bar. We've done a lot of work about this in the past, talking about the statements that have been made by Prince Philip, Ted Turner, Bill Gates and others who've lusted over this idea of depopulating vast swathes of the world. And let's not use the euphemism: that means, in this case, killing off vast swathes of

the globe. It's one thing to talk about breeding ourselves out of existence, just having less children or something - it's another thing to talk about engineering corn to contain anti-sperm antibodies that basically make men sterile and then feeding it to third world populations, as was talked about in that video by Epicyte and others.

We have to be aware of this agenda and what's going on, and in this regard probably one of the most interesting statements<sup>48</sup>, when talking about Ebola, was made in 2006 by Dr. Eric Pianca of the University of Texas, in which claims that in one of his speeches, I believe the speech in acceptance for some sort of award for his biomedical research, he talks about the ultimate need to reduce the population and how wonderful it would be, if and when the Ebola virus starts to wipe out 90% of the globe - a statement that received standing ovations according to eye witnesses who were actually there at the speech.

There's a long history towards this endgame, and it's something that I think we have to be aware of in order to more effectively counteract it, because just like the false flag scenario, we break that tool when we show it for what it is, and we show it when we run out in front of that and show the audience that there's a rabbit up their sleeve.

So, let's work on bringing more information to this subject, but on that note I think we should clarify a couple of things that I've seen in the alternative media, that I think need clarifying or debunking, because they've garnered quite a bit more attention than I think they should.

One of which is this idea that Obama has signed an executive

---

<sup>48</sup><http://web.archive.org/web/20060409195604/http://www.statesman.com/news/content/news/stories/local/04/5PIANKA.html>

order to allow detention of persons specifically in the wake of this Ebola outbreak<sup>49</sup>. It relates, in fact, to an executive order that was originally penned by George Bush a decade ago<sup>50</sup>, and that order was signed on the wake of the SARS hype. It specifically mentions the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in that executive order.

What Obama did in this Executive Amendment that he just sign, is he just simply took out "Severe Acute" and just left it as Respiratory Syndrome, i.e. any type of respiratory syndrome.

The implications supposedly in the headlines that are being generated in the alt media is that this has to do with the Ebola virus. It does not. The original executive order, when you go back and read George Bush's executive order, specifically mentioned Ebola. It said hemorrhagic fever including Lars Marburg, Ebola, Crimean Congo, South-American and others not yet named. So that specifically included as one of this diseases which could be used to implement the detention or seizure of people and quarantining, etc.

So, that was already on the books. Obama didn't touch that. That had nothing to do with Ebola, what Obama did. What Obama did was expand it really for the inclusion as such things as Middle-Eastern Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), which has gone under the radar in the wake of this Ebola hype, but it's out there and is potentially going to be the next panic that they want us to worry about.

So this new Executive Order Amendment doesn't have any-

---

<sup>49</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20150104083610/http://www.prisonplanet.com/obama-signs-executive-order-to-detain-americans-with-respiratory-illnesses.html>

<sup>50</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20141015171534/http://www.cdc.gov/sars/quarantine/exec-2004-04-03.html>

thing to do with Ebola specifically, but it is something to keep in mind about Medical martial law in general.

Another thing I'd like to debunk is a sort of red herring. There's been a lot of press in the alt media bout how the "CDC has patented Ebola". And that seems to be about the extent of the research that most people put into this claim. Well, I don't think that's exactly what 's happening. Let's put this on the record: the patent is CA2741523 A1: Human Ebola virus species and compositions and methods thereof<sup>51</sup>. And people are saying this is a patent of the Ebola virus. Well, it's not exactly. It's a patent of a specific strain that has been engineered. Specifically when reading from the description of the patent:

Compositions are provided that are operable as immunogens to elicit and immune response or protection from EboBun challenge in a subject such as a primate. Inventive methods are directed to detection and treatment of EboBun infection.

Basically, this is a long way of going to say that what they're talking about is a very specific strain of Ebola called EboBun for short. It's very specifically talking about the immune response and treatment of this. A lot of people are saying oh, look, it means that CDC has engineered Ebola or something of that sort. Well, there's a couple of things to keep in mind.

First of all, the current strain that is spreading in the Congo is not the EboBun strain of Ebola virus. It is related to the Zaire Ebola virus strain<sup>52</sup>. So, it is not the same strain as is talked

---

<sup>51</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140803232904/http://www.google.com/patents/CA2741523A1?cl=en>

<sup>52</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20140715105240/http://>

about in the patent, so in that respect this has nothing to do with that CDC patent.

Another thing to keep in mind is that this is not the first or only virus the CDC has patented. This is something the CDC has done before and will, likely, do again in the discovery of new strains of various viruses. For example, we can turn to a 2003 article from The Associated Press: "Scientists race to patent SARS virus: efforts to claim property rights spark ethical debate"<sup>53</sup>.

Researchers around the world are racing to patent the SARS virus and its genetic material, rekindling criticism of laws that allow people to claim intellectual property rights on living things.

Several biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, the U.S government and researchers in Canada and Hong Kong have filed SARS-related patent applications in recent weeks, claiming ownership of everything from bits of genetic material to the virus itself.

Nonprofit and government agencies said their applications are intended to keep the SARS work in the publicdomain, while private companies said patents will protect their research and development, and possibly lead to drug royalties.

---

[//currents.plos.org/outbreaks/article/clock-rooting-further-demonstrates-that-guinea-2014-ebov-is-a-member-of-the-zaire-lineage/](http://currents.plos.org/outbreaks/article/clock-rooting-further-demonstrates-that-guinea-2014-ebov-is-a-member-of-the-zaire-lineage/)

<sup>53</sup>[https://web.archive.org/web/20131111171748/http://www.nbcnews.com/id/3076748/ns/health-infectious\\_diseases/t/scientists-race-patent-sars-virus/](https://web.archive.org/web/20131111171748/http://www.nbcnews.com/id/3076748/ns/health-infectious_diseases/t/scientists-race-patent-sars-virus/)



### **CDC claims ownership of virus**

The Centers for Disease control and Prevention, for instance, claims ownership of the virus and its entire genetic content. Rather than trying to profit if such a patent were awarded, the CDC says its application is to prevent others from monopolizing the field.

”The whole purpose of the patent is to prevent folks from controlling the technology,” said CDC spokesman Llelwyn Grant. ”This is being done to give the industry and other researchers access to the samples.”

So, there is the official story about why the CDC patents viruses. If they didn’t do it there would be companies that would do it, and the CDC says ”we make it part of the public domain, we let researchers study it - if a private company came along and patented EboBun, for example, that would mean that if you actually did contract that particular strain of Ebola virus you would have to go to them if you wanted to look for potential cures, you’d have to be granted a license by the patent holder.”

At least on the surface level, it makes sense why the CDC does that, their official story is that they’re putting it out in the public domain. You could ascribe ulterior motives to them, but again, the proof is in the pudding. When and if the CDC restricts access based on their patent and starts charging people, for example, for the right to examine a virus, then we will be able to say look! they’re doing it because of that . As far as I know, that’s never happened and noone has ever complained about that. So at this point the CDC patent on EboBun is a

double red herring.

A) It has nothing to do with the 2014 strain, and B) It is not being used to prohibit people from looking at that strain - at least as far as anyone has reported.

I'm willing to be corrected on that point, but at this point the CDC patent is a red herring. It doesn't mean the CDC created or owns the Ebola virus. But as I say, I'm open to the possibility that the Ebola virus is a bioweapon that was created, but this is not proof of that. Let's not spread that information as if it was proof of anything.

That's going to end our examination today, again, a ton of information, tons of links in the shownotes that you can explore, and of course you can explore the open source investigation that we're doing at CorbettReport.com, the thread with dozens and dozens and dozens of comments with research and links.

As I say, don't panic. If you're in any country other than Liberia, Nigeria, Sierra Leone or Guinea, and even then. You probably should not be panicking over the possibility of contracting Ebola, at the very least until we start seeing transmission in other countries.

And also we should remain skeptical of every single thing that is being promoted in the mainstream, in the alternative media, things you've heard today - again, be skeptical, search for this information, look for it yourself, but I think ultimately this is about the preparation for potential future pandemics, and the preparation of public consciousness to accept those.

Thank you to all of you out there who make this investigation possible. If you like this investigation, if you think it's important, if you think there's information in here that people should know about, please spread it to others. That is how this work propagates. It is the open source free and open media that is

the counterbalance to the MSM feeding us all of their lies.



## *Chapter 3*

---

### *2020: The Coronavirus Freakout*

---

If you follow the feeds at CorbettReport.com, you will know that last week I re-released a section of an old podcast, specifically episode 86 of the Corbett Report Podcast, on *Medical Martial Law*<sup>1</sup>, which was released in 2009 in the height of the swine flu pandemic pandemonium that was sweeping the globe at that time. And just last week Corbett Report video editor Broc West re-vivified a section of that podcast in video format to bring people out to speed on what I was talking about 11 years ago, in regards to the laying of the infrastructure and legislative framework for the implementation of medical martial law in any declared public health emergency.

And there are many different pieces, cookie crumbs along that trail leading towards that medical martial law conclusion. So I will exhort you, if you've not yet done so, to go back and not just watch that video section of the podcast, but listen to

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.corbettreport.com/episode-086-medical-martial-law/>

the entire podcast, which lays it out in a great degree of detail. And I will be taking that information for granted - that you're familiar with the steps that have already been put in place that I was outlining in episode 86, for example the Model State Emergency Health Powers Act<sup>2</sup>, which was drafted in the wake of the 2001 anthrax bioterror false flag event, and was subsequently adopted by over 40 states, allowing for quarantines and mandated vaccinations in the event of any declared public health emergency. And it is that Model State Emergency Health Powers Act which gave rise to legislation in numerous state legislatures that is now being implemented as I speak, in various states declaring public health emergencies.

So, it is important to have that background and that legislative framework in place in your mind, so once again I will exhort you to go back and re-familiarize yourself with that material in that previous podcast, which, as you may guess from the title of today's podcast, I'll be updating with the 11 years of information that has taken place between then and now, to move us a little further along that road towards what we're seeing right now, which is the flicking of the switch and the turning on of the apparatus for medical martial law.

It is happening, and it is important to understand how we got to where we are today, in order to better understand what is likely to happen in the near future and what we can actually do about this. So roll up your sleeves and get your pen and paper: there's gonna be a data dump of information here today. But don't worry - as always, all of the links to all of the documents and videos and everything that we'll go through today will be in the shownotes for today's episode at CorbettRe-

---

<sup>2</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200206001101/http://www.publichealthlaw.net/MSEHPA/MSEHPA.pdf>

port.com/mml2020.

**Extraordinary powers** That being said, let's get straight into it. Actually we're going to start with a document that was originally drafted and put on the books in 2007, but actually was not released for the public at that time. We're just finding out about it now, in the wake of the coronavirus pandemic freak-out. We're getting this information from The Nation, which on March 12 2020 reported on "An Internal Pandemic Document Shows the Coronavirus Gives Trump Extraordinary Powers<sup>3</sup>." Specifically, they're talking about a document called the Operations Plan for Pandemic Response<sup>4</sup>, which was drafted for the CBP, the Customs and Border Protection agency, and that document is in this article, the complete document, so you can go and read through it.

Full confession: I hadn't had time to read through the entire document yet, but I have skimmed through some important passages of it. The Nation frames this story this way, they say:

"On Wednesday, the World Health Organization designated the coronavirus a pandemic, meaning that the disease is now considered to have spread worldwide. But pandemics also confer extraordinary privileges on US authorities. Last night, Trump announced a ban the next 30 days on travelers flying from Europe to the United States."

Already things have progressed much further than that, as

---

<sup>3</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200312184703/https://www.thenation.com/article/society/coronavirus-trump-pandemic/>

<sup>4</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20210108220131/https://www.scribd.com/document/451420438/CBP-Pandemic-Response>

you know, but this was the information at the time this article was drafted.

”And an internal CBP pandemic response plan obtained by The Nation outlines the immigration and border agency’s ability to actively surveil and detain individuals suspected of carrying the illness.

Titled “Operations Plan for Pandemic Response” and marked for official use only, the document was drafted during the avian flu pandemic of 2007. It’s a blunt statement of authority, describing Customs and Border Patrol overseeing potential tent cities of quarantined detainees at the border and coordinating with unspecified intelligence agencies—both foreign and domestic—as well as the Pentagon.”

As I say, I will invite you to go and read the document for yourself, and to read the article from The Nation that frames that document, but it does frame it in a highly partisan way, talking about the evil Trump administration and its war on immigrants as if this Pandemic Response Plan that is in place and is the current on-the-record CBP Pandemic Response Plan that is being used as the guidebook for what is happening right now - as if all of this only applied to immigrants, or people from the Third World or something.

This applies to everyone, including of course even American citizens attempting to return to the US, and does make them subject to the whims of CBP officials, such that coming into contact or exposure with this virus, or exhibiting any symptoms of what *could be* COVID 19 is enough for the CBP to flex



its muscle and authority (granted through this document) to put people in quarantine in tent cities. And, if there actually is, or in any future case was, some sort of actually infectious bioweapon or whatever that's spreading through the population, a great way to make sure someone catches it is to lock them in a tent city with a lot of other people who do have it...

That being said, the document is just another glimpse into the types of powers that are on the books and have been laid out for years, in the event of what we're seeing today. And it is important to keep this in mind. Again, we're looking at a lot of documents from the US context today, but this applies to countries all around the world.

And I know my home and native land of Canada is even at the current moment refusing entry even to Canadian citizens who are exhibiting any signs or symptoms of COVID 19<sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup>. They will not even be allowed to board a plane to come to Canada at this current time. Even Canadian citizens. There's people living abroad with permanent residences or VISAs about to expire that are (or were) going to come home to Canada and can't do so, because they're not allowed to and are in a legal limbo now.

This sort of thing is exactly what was planned out in this pandemic response plans, including this particular document from the CBP.

**Lock Step** But let's move ahead in the timeline from 2007 to 2010, where we encounter Lock Step<sup>7</sup>. Lock Step

---

<sup>5</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200317201033/https://oshawaexpress.ca/trudeau-announces-restrictions-on-entry-into-canada/>

<sup>6</sup>[https://youtu.be/c\\_XyoFTxVrw?t=71](https://youtu.be/c_XyoFTxVrw?t=71)

<sup>7</sup><https://archive.org/details/pdfy-tNG7MjZUicS-wiJb/page/n17/mode/2up>

comes from a series of scenarios that were laid out by the Rockefeller Foundation in conjunction with the GBN, the Global Business Network, and were described in a document called *Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development*. This document starts by noting that:

”The Rockefeller Foundation believes that in order to understand the many ways in which technology will impact international development in the future, we must first broaden and deepen our individual and collective understanding of the range of possibilities. This report, and the project upon which it is based, is one attempt to do that. In it, we share the outputs and insights from a year-long project, undertaken by the Rockefeller Foundation and Global Business Network (GBN), designed to explore the role of technology in international development through scenario planning, a methodology in which GBN is a long-time leader.”

It goes on to describe more about why it’s choosing these various scenarios and why it’s focusing on technology, but long story short, there’s a number of different scenarios that they envision could happen in a more technologically dependent world in the future, and what might happen as a result of that.

And one of the scenarios that they were planning, on the records, in 2010, was called Lock Step. Let me know if this sounds familiar to you. The document starts by noting that Lock Step is a scenario that involves ”A world of tighter top-down government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen pushback.” And it starts this scenario by noting:

”In 2012, the pandemic that the world had been anticipating for years finally hit. Unlike 2009’s H1N1, this new influenza strain — originating from wild geese — was extremely virulent and deadly. Even the most pandemic-prepared nations were quickly overwhelmed when the virus streaked around the world, infecting nearly 20 percent of the global population and killing 8 million in just seven months, the majority of them healthy young adults. The pandemic also had a deadly effect on economies: international mobility of both people and goods screeched to a halt, debilitating industries like tourism and breaking global supply chains. Even locally, normally bustling shops and office buildings sat empty for months, devoid of both employees and customers.

The pandemic blanketed the planet — though disproportionate numbers died in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Central America, where the virus spread like wildfire in the absence of official containment protocols. But even in developed countries, containment was a challenge. The United States’s initial policy of “strongly discouraging” citizens from flying proved deadly in its leniency, accelerating the spread of the virus not just within the U.S. but across borders. However, a few countries did fare better — China in particular. The Chinese government’s quick imposition and enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well as its instant and near-hermetic sealing off of all borders, saved millions of lives, stopping the spread

of the virus far earlier than in other countries and enabling a swifter post- pandemic recovery.

China's government was not the only one that took extreme measures to protect its citizens from risk and exposure. During the pandemic, national leaders around the world flexed their authority and imposed airtight rules and restrictions, from the mandatory wearing of face masks to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets. Even after the pandemic faded, this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of increasingly global problems – from pandemics and transnational terrorism to environmental crises and rising poverty – leaders around the world took a firmer grip on power.”

You can continue reading through this scenario and the way it played out in the scenario-planners' fevered imagination. Later on, it notes in a sidebar, for example, the role of philanthropy in Lock Step, talking about those wonderful philanthropic organizations like, one would presume, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation - which I'm not sure was in operation in 2010 - at any rate it probably wasn't as big an influence on pandemic response back in 2010. But anyway, that type of organization - which as you know from previous Corbett Report episodes<sup>8</sup>, is always just a virtuous bounty of plenty descended from the

---

<sup>8</sup><https://www.corbettreport.com/episode-228-how-to-become-a-billionaire-and-what-to-do-with-it/>

heavens by these virtuous philanthropists, out of complete lack of self-interest, right? No, of course not.

But it does go on to talk about philanthropic organizations will face "hard choices" on the world of Lock Step - given the strong role of governments they will have to require higher diplomacy skills, and basically have to more closely work with national official development assistance strategies to meet government objectives.

But specifically in the part where they talk about technology in Lock Step, they talk about the things that were implemented on this scenario in order to crack down on the pandemic:

**Technology trends and applications we might see:**

- Scanners using advanced functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) technology become the norm at airports and other public areas to detect abnormal behavior that may indicate "antisocial intent."

i.e. brain-reading/scanning cameras and other technologies to basically read your mind and see if you're a secret terrorist.

- In the aftermath of pandemic scares, smarter packaging for food and beverages is applied first by big companies and producers in a business-to-business environment, and then adopted for individual products and consumers.
- New diagnostics are developed to detect communicable diseases. The application of health screening also changes; screening becomes a prerequisite

for release from a hospital or prison, successfully slowing the spread of many diseases.

- Tele-presence technologies respond to the demand for less expensive, lower- bandwidth, sophisticated communications systems for populations whose travel is restricted.
- Driven by protectionism and national security concerns, nations create their own independent, regionally defined IT networks, mimicking China's firewalls.

So, the crackdown on the internet. And then in goes into the examples of a personal story from this life in Lock Step: that of Manisha, living on the banks of the Ganges river. It goes through her experience, and it's worth reading. There's some interesting insights, including how the national government of India "saved" the population during the quarantine period during the pandemic.

#### **Life in Lock Step:**

- ...and that experience, thought Manisha, had given the government the confidence to be so strict about river usage now: how else could they get millions of Indian citizens to completely shift their Manisha watched as an engineering team began unloading equipment on the banks. Many top Indian scientists and engineers had been recruited by the government to develop tools and strategies for cleaning the Ganges in more high-tech ways. Her favorite were the submersible bots that continuously "swam" the river to detect, through sensors,

the presence of chemical pathogens. New riverside filtration systems that sucked in dirty river water and spit out far cleaner water were also impressive – especially because on the outside they were designed to look like mini-temples. In fact, that’s why Manisha was at the river today, to oversee the installation of a filtration system located not even 100 feet from where she first stepped into the Ganges as a girl. The water looked so much cleaner now, and recent tests suggested that it might even meet drinkability standards by 2035. Manisha was tempted to kick off her shoe and dip her toe in, but this was a restricted area now – and she, of all people, would never break that law. Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development cultural practices in relationship to a holy site?”

Good question, how else would you do that other than a very traumatic situation, as envisioned by the Rockefeller Foundation and Global Business Network in 2010. The Lock Step document is an important piece of this puzzle. I’ll put the link in the shownotes, so please go ahead and read that for yourself.

**Wartime footing** Let’s move ahead in the timeline to 2013, this being pointed out recently by Dr Rudolf Hansel in an article titled “The Coronavirus and the New World Order - War is in the Air,” in which Dr. Hansel writes<sup>9</sup>:

”On 11th March 2020 the “Neue Rheinische Zeitung NRhZ” drew attention (in the article “Two

---

<sup>9</sup><https://www.globalresearch.ca/coronavirus-new-world-order-something-rotten-state-denmark/5706464>

Corona Mosaic Stones“) to a publication by the German government in January 2013: the “Information from the German government – Report on risk analysis in civil protection 2012” (printed matter 17/12051 of 3rd January 2013). In it, frightening similarities with what is currently happening can be seen – in particular by explicitly mentioning the “SARS coronavirus (CoV)”. The scenario presented, in which the spread, course, duration, mortality etc. are described, goes as far as to make a drastic restriction of fundamental rights necessary.

The scenario states in this respect:

“The competent authorities, first of all the public health authorities and primarily the public health officers, must take measures to prevent communicable diseases. The IfSG [Infektionsschutzgesetz] allows, among other things, restrictions of basic rights (§16 IfSG), such as the right to inviolability of the home (Article 13 (1) GG). Within the framework of necessary protective measures, the fundamental right of personal freedom (Article 2 (2) sentence 2 GG) and the freedom of assembly (Article 8 GG) can also be restricted (§ 16 (5) to (8) and § 28 IfSG). In addition to these measures to be ordered directly by the public health officer, the Federal Ministry of Health can order by statu-



tory order that threatened sections of the population have to take part in protective vaccinations or other measures of specific prophylaxis (Article 20 (6) IfSG), whereby the right to physical integrity (Article 2 (2) sentence 1 GG) can be restricted”.”

I would suggest to go back and read and re-read that passage several times until it sinks in. But yes, in 2013 the German government was already drafting scenarios that envisioned mandatory vaccinations or ”specific prophylaxis” in the event of a spreading communicable disease. And, oh, yeah, restrictions on the rights on personal freedom and freedom of assembly, which is particularly convenient in a period where - as I have noted in recent times - this is a period in which a lot of protests are happening all around the globe, all at the same time, and the globalists are on the run... right? What could they probably do to avoid the big people’s uprising that’s coming... oh, that’s right, a globally declared public health emergency that would restrict freedom of assembly and freedom of travel, freedom to gather in groups of more than 10 people, in country after country. Bye bye yellow vests! I guess it was fun while it lasted. Bye bye Hong Kong and everywhere else that has any sort of protest movement. Not anymore. No one is going to be out assembling in protest to this, and if they do, then medical martial law is already in place. That was laid out in a document int 2013 by the German government.

Fast forward in the timeline to 2015, that’s when the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation enters the picture in a big way, because that is specifically when Bill Gates set his sights on the

pandemic panic. We get this from basically a public relations campaign that he started engaging in in that timeframe, including a vox.com video where Ezra Klein interviews Bill Gates about what he's most afraid of<sup>10</sup>. And, spoiler alert, he's most afraid of a globally spreading pandemic like the 1918 flu. And at the time he's also writing an OpEd in the New England Journal of Medicine about the Ebola scare of 2014 - remember that? The big Ebola scare? *You're gonna die of Ebola!* News flash, I don't think many Americans did die of Ebola, but it was the big pandemic scare of 2014, which I covered extensively at the time. I'll give links to my work on that topic at the time<sup>11</sup>.

But in the wake of that, in April of 2015, Bill Gates wrote an OpEd in the New England Journal of Medicine titled "The Next Epidemic - Lessons from Ebola",<sup>12</sup> which was part of this pandemic panic freakout public relations campaign that he was on at the time. And that article includes a very telling paragraph:

"It's instructive to compare our preparations for epidemics with our preparations for another sort of global threat — war. The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) has a mobile unit that is ready to deploy quickly. Although the system is not perfect, NATO countries participate in joint exercises in which they work out logistics such as how fuel and food will be provided, what language they will speak, and what radio frequencies will be used. Few, if any, such measures are in place for response

---

<sup>10</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9AEMKudv5p0>

<sup>11</sup><https://www.corbetteport.com/?s=ebola>

<sup>12</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20150320151705/https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp1502918>

to an epidemic. The world does not fund any organization to manage the broad set of coordinated activities required in an epidemic. The last serious simulation of an epidemic in the United States, the Dark Winter exercise, took place in 2001. And few countries have met their commitments under the International Health Regulations, which were adopted by the United Nations after the 2002–2003 outbreak of the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and were intended to improve the world’s ability to prevent and contain outbreaks.”

So many important nuggets just in that paragraph alone. One of which is the Dark Winter exercise<sup>13</sup>, which as Bill Gates notes, took place in 2001. If you’re unfamiliar with the Dark Winter exercise, it is time to get up to speed on that. I’ve talked about it in the past, but like a lot of this work, it’s many many years in the past so you may not have seen it. But it was a simulation of an anthrax bioterror scare in the US, shortly before 9/11 and the anthrax attacks of October 2001 - almost as if they were live-simulating something that they were about to do... what an amazing coincidence.

Extremely interesting, and you should take a look at that exercise, and the fact that it contained fake news reports that were dressed up to look like real news reports about how anthrax was spreading and affecting different populations. Some of the

---

<sup>13</sup>DarkWinterexercise:Part1:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MkFPXgC-Ib0>Part2:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VMd4z19IJU0>Part3:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BAkzNx3zFtQ>Part4:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hABMVGr7VA>

very people responding to the October 2001 anthrax crisis sitting there at the tabletop excessive on 2001.

Also, of course, we need a "wartime footing" for pandemic response. And that's something that's popping up in the news as I speak. Emergency war powers not invoked since the Korean war, for example, in the case of the US, are being invoked right now because "we are at war" with a "hidden enemy".<sup>14</sup> And of course evoking the specter of SARS: now we're dealing with SARS-cov-2: the Electric Boogaloo.

A lot of things go back to this. It's an important article for people to read through, to understand the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and their angle on this, the "philanthropic organizations" that will help to coordinate the international global response that will be necessary. That's of course what it always comes back to. Some people are saying that this is the end of globalization as we know it, that this is going to upend everything. But on the contrary, this is a fail-forward event, in which the very globalist purveyors of the globalist ideology are going to push even further and harder, we're going to "need" a global pandemic response agency funded by all countries and able to subsume the national sovereignty of any given country, as a result of what's happening right now. And that was, again, laid out by Bill Gates five years ago.

Let's move forward to 2017, where the very parting shots of the Obama administration were an interesting piece of legislation that, again, seems highly relevant to what's taking place today. We'll get this from NPR - National Petroleum Radio, as James Evan Pilato likes to call it. It had an article in February of 2017: "CDC Seeks Controversial New Quarantine Powers To

---

<sup>14</sup><https://archive.is/Y0pYH>

Stop Outbreaks”.<sup>15</sup>

”Federal health officials may be about to get greatly enhanced powers to quarantine people, as part of an ongoing effort to stop outbreaks of dangerous contagious diseases.

The new powers are outlined in a set of regulations the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention published late last month to update the agency’s quarantine authority for the first time since the 1940s.

The outlined changes are being welcomed by many health lawyers, bioethicists and public health specialists as providing important tools for protecting the public. But the CDC’s increased authority is also raising fears that the rules could be misused in ways that violate civil liberties.

The update was finalized at the end of the Obama administration and was scheduled to go into effect Feb. 21. But the Trump administration is reviewing the changes as part of its review of new regulations. So the soonest the changes could go into effect has been pushed to the end of March.”

Spoiler alert: yes, the changes went into effect. And there’s obviously more ongoing right now with regards to the rules that are being written on the fly for pandemic response to the

---

<sup>15</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20170202112315/https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2017/02/02/512678115/cdc-seeks-controversial-new-quarantine-powers-to-stop-outbreaks>

latest coronavirus freakout. But this was what was set in the books on 2017. Again, please go to the article to read more about the "potential for violations of civil liberties"... Oh, you don't say.

Of course all of that is just preparatory, because all of that took place in the previous paradigm. Since 9/11 we've been living under the "War on Terror" paradigm, which has defined the economic, geopolitical and domestic political reality that we've been living in, one way or another. And that was palpable, absolutely inescapable, for the first decade after 9/11, where - as was famously parodied in a couple of places, Family Guy and elsewhere - simply saying 9/11 in a political speech was enough to get a round of applause. Terrorists! Terrorists! 9/11! Terrorists! That was the paradigm we were living under.

**Event201** Obviously, that has frayed in recent years - it doesn't have quite the same magical power to spellbind the public as it did just a few years ago. And that paradigm is now being replaced. We are now in a new paradigm, and that paradigm did not start in March of 2020 with this current implementation of the coronavirus freakout - no, it started before the coronavirus itself started transmitting among the human population - at least officially, to the extent that we actually know anything about the real origins of what's happening right now.

No, no, no. This started back in October of 2019. Specifically October 19, 2019, and that new paradigm was announced in New York City by the Center for Health Security, in Event 201.

**Fake news report from Event201, from October 2019:** It began in healthy looking pigs. Months, perhaps years ago. A new coronavirus spread

silently within the herds. Gradually, farmers started getting sick. Infected people got a respiratory illness with symptoms ranging from mild flu-like signs to severe pneumonia. The sickest required intensive care, many died.

**Anita Cicero, from the John Hopkins Center for Health Security, speaking at the Event201 conference:** The mission of the pandemic emergency board is to provide recommendations to deal with the major global challenges arising in response to an unfolding pandemic. The board is comprised of highly experienced leaders from business, public health and civil society.

**Tom Inglesby, from the John Hopkins Center for Health Security, speaking at the Event201 conference:** We're at the start of what's looking like it will be a severe pandemic. And there are problems emerging that can only be solved by global business and governments working together.

**Fake news report from Event201, from October 2019:** Public health agencies have issued travel advisories, while some countries have banned travel from the worst affected areas. As a result, the travel sector is taking a huge hit. Travel bookings are down 45%, and many flights have been canceled.

**Stephen Reed, from the US CDC:** Governments need to be willing to do things that are out of their historical perspective. For the most part, it's really a war footing that we need to be on.

**Brad Connett, from Henry Schein:** We shouldn't underestimate the power of entrepreneurship. We need to escalate that. Whether it's through governments implementing tax breaks or subsidies of that nature to increase the manufacturing of those types of products. It can happen quickly: a martial type plan - I don't mean to say that exactly, but a martial plan that can go into effect and stimulate change very quickly.

Now, as I'm sure you know, if you do follow alternative media, much has been said already about Event201 and what was taking place there. If you're completely new to it all, I'll put links to the video<sup>16</sup>, the website<sup>17</sup>, the press release, and other material that will give you a better sense of what Event201 was and what it was attempting to do, at least officially.

I'll also provide links to the Propaganda Report, proreport.com, which has done a special report<sup>18</sup> about the Event201 agenda, highlighting the recommendations<sup>19</sup>, the call to action that was issued as result of this "tabletop exercise" that was held in New York in October of 2019, and involving some of the very players who are now in the front-lines of this fight against the coronavirus pandemic that they themselves were simulating... exactly like Dark Winter.

And that includes the heads of the US CDC, the Chinese CDC and others. The full list of participants will be linked in the

---

<sup>16</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AoLw-Q8X174>

<sup>17</sup><http://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/about>

<sup>18</sup><https://www.theproreport.com/special-report-coronavirus-the-event-201-agenda/>

<sup>19</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200122093208/http://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/event201/recommendations.html>



shownotes.

Specifically in this talk to action they talk about the various things that the World Economic Forum, the John Hopkins Center for Health Security and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation jointly *propose* as a result of their findings, playing out this coronavirus pandemic scenario in October 2019.

1. Governments, international organizations, and businesses should plan now for how essential corporate capabilities will be utilized during a large-scale pandemic.
2. Industry, national governments, and international organizations should work together to enhance internationally held stockpiles of medical countermeasures (MCMs) to enable rapid and equitable distribution during a severe pandemic.
3. Countries, international organizations, and global transportation companies should work together to maintain travel and trade during severe pandemics. Travel and trade are essential to the global economy as well as to national and even local economies, and they should be maintained even in the face of a pandemic.
4. Governments should provide more resources and support for the development and surge manufacturing of vaccines, therapeutics, and diagnostics that will be needed during a severe pandemic.
5. Global business should recognize the economic burden of pandemics and fight for stronger preparedness.

6. International organizations should prioritize reducing economic impacts of epidemics and pandemics.
7. Governments and the private sector should assign a greater priority to developing methods to combat mis- and dis-information prior to the next pandemic response.

Please go read through that complete full list, it's such reasonable things that in light of what has happened in October 2019, it's absolutely amazing that they were able to foresee what was coming<sup>20</sup>, and look! They have this perfectly amazing list of responses already waiting for something like this to come along so that they can shake their paper in the face of these world leaders and say "look! We told you so, and now we have the plan on exactly what you should do about it..." including, as point number 7 in that call to action makes very explicit, the crackdown on what is deemed to be mis- and dis-information by the "authoritative sources" in the fact-checking world about the pandemic outbreak.

And what are we seeing right now? All of the big tech companies are now actively coordinating and collaborating with the US government in order to crack down on coronavirus misinformation<sup>21</sup> - which, oh, by the way, has a bug<sup>22</sup>: Facebook just

---

<sup>20</sup>Sarcasm.

<sup>21</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200317073556/https://techcrunch.com/2020/03/16/facebook-reddit-google-linkedin-microsoft-twitter-and-youtube-issue-joint-statement-on-misinformation/>

<sup>22</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200319151127/https://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/gadgets-and-tech/news/coronavirus-facebook-censorship-articles-covid-19->

”accidentally” basically censored and blocked and removed every single article and post on coronavirus recently. But that was just a bug, folks! Don’t worry, they’re just ironing out the wrinkles on that system and it all be better soon, once they have the right censorship things dialed in properly<sup>23</sup>.

And, of course, the WHO director did come out and say that what we’re dealing with is not just a pandemic - it’s an ”infodemic”.<sup>24</sup> So this is already been seeded into the popular imagination, and it was already there sitting and waiting to be enacted by the Event201 crew, who were the very ones coordinating the response to the real-life version of what they simulated.

And just as a little extra nugget - because you cannot make this stuff up. One of the toys that they gave away at Event201 to the people attending the event were plushies - coronavirus microbe plushies that were given away to all of the participants<sup>25</sup>. Oh, isn’t that so cute, they have a little coronavirus plushy that they get to take home with them as a little souvenir, a little memento of the dawning of a new age of coronavirus-led microbial terror.

But don’t worry, the good folks at Politifact have come along and deemed it ”mostly false” that Event201 gave out stuffed souvenir coronavirus toys<sup>26</sup>, because they go on to note that *well*,

---

a9408726.html

<sup>23</sup>Sarcasm.

<sup>24</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200304165241/https://thehill.com/changing-america/well-being/prevention-cures/481387-were-in-an-infodemic-with-too-much-information>

<sup>25</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20211010183254/https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=2587820948104339&set=a.1545484785671299&type=3&theater>

<sup>26</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200204170116/https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2020/feb/03/facebook->

*yes, they did actually give out stuffed toys that really are meant to be coronavirus microbes, but the context is everything, and they weren't actually predicting the novel coronavirus that they were talking about and predicting in that simulation.*

Again, all of this "fact" checkers are parodies of themselves, but this is the framework that has been decided upon for censoring information on the internet from here on forward. So if you're hearing my voice, consider yourself lucky that you've found it at all. And who knows this type of message will be allowed to be spread on the internet via any sort of major platform.

Event201, October 2019, really was the marking of the new era that we have stumbled into one way or another. And that era is now coming to fruition and there are many different aspects of what's going on right now, and there are very many different power grabs that are being taken by authoritarian structures all around the globe - just as after 9/11 every authoritarian in the world was happy to see that event occur, in the sense that it gave them carte-blanche to call all of their enemies "terrorists" and launch a war upon them.

Well, now authoritarian structures around the world are benefiting from the massive power-grab that is taking place right under our nose. And there are very different aspects to this, including the incredible steps that are taking place just in the last few hours, as I'm recording this podcast - "Trump taps emergency powers as virus relief plan proceeds".<sup>27</sup>

Trump tapped his authority under the 70-year-old  
Defense Production Act to give the government

---

posts/these-plushies-do-not-commemorate-2019-novel-coron/

<sup>27</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200325090500/https://apnews.com/bd6d92f70e73276c74502fc18e663ae7>

---

more power to steer production by private companies and try to overcome shortages in masks, ventilators and other supplies.

**Medical martial law 2020** We'll get more into the economic and other aspects of what's going on in future editions of this podcast. Today we're focusing specifically on the invocation of martial law and martial-law-type powers on the back of what is happening right now. And you don't have to go very far to see that that is exactly what is happening, even as I speak.

**Reporter from CGTN news:** The epicenter of this outbreak, the city of Wuhan, has just handed over the first of two temporary hospitals to the Chinese military medical teams.

*Source: Two temporary hospitals handed over to army medical team in Wuhan<sup>28</sup>*

**Reporter from Israel News:** In response to the worsening situation, and ahead of an anticipated nation-wide curfew, the IDF [Israeli Defense Force] entered its higher state of alert, which practically means the Israeli military's emergency preparedness for war.

*Source: Israeli military enters state of war amid nationwide curfew over COVID19<sup>29</sup>*

**Reporter from CNA News:** The Presidency of the region of Lombardy has said that he wants the military to be called in to enforce the lockdown, calling

---

<sup>28</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QXpJ0WE39M8>

<sup>29</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=twg-mePAmAY>

on people to stay at home - this is really the mantra that they are leading out to the public at large.

*Source: Italy braces for extended lockdown as COVID-19 death toll surpasses China*<sup>30</sup>

**Reporter:** This morning, emergency measures in New York. The state's governor deployed the National Guard to New Rochelle, a suburb of New York City, in an effort to contain a coronavirus outbreak there. Residents within a one-mile radius required to stay within the area for a two-week period.

**Gov. Andrew Cuomo, NYC:** It is a dramatic action, but it is the largest cluster in the country, and it's literally a matter of life and death.

*Source: National Guard Deployed To Help Contain Coronavirus In NYC Suburb*<sup>31</sup>

**Jason Bermas:** Anybody can look at a map: basically New Rochelle is the point where if you have the military you can deploy them within 15 miles of each choke point. And then the city and Long Island are both landlocked.

*Source: The #NYCLockdown is About to Begin. Here's What You Need to Know.*<sup>32</sup>

I am faced with the podcaster's dilemma of sitting here in March 2020 in the midst of an ongoing and unfolding story, the

---

<sup>30</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jVsErbUw80U>

<sup>31</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XjY0At4PX-w&t=1s>

<sup>32</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5jcjKPjoXE>

end of which I obviously do not know, and cannot present to you. I can only present to you the slices of information that I have at my disposal as I'm recording this - which will undoubtedly be out of date by the time you're listening to this, even if you listen to it as soon as I release it, let alone days, months or years after the fact.

So, I can only give you a slice of the information that is at hand right now. But I can tell you that martial law, and the specter of martial law is in the air in the US and elsewhere - reflected in any number of stories that are trending on the mainstream news pages right now, about what is martial law, and is martial law coming to America.

For example, we can get this from Fox Business: "What is martial law? Increase in COVID-19-related rules, curfews has led to circulation of rumors surrounding martial law".<sup>33</sup> And it helpfully defines martial law as "a term that describes the government order of replacing civil rule with the military in a time of war or emergency.". And it notes that

"In the United States, the president must order for the establishment of martial law, which would in turn transfer power into the hands of the army. But the change in power must only last through the duration of the emergency, according to Cornell Law School's Legal Information Institute."

Well, actually, for people who have been paying attention over the past 18 years, the national emergency that was declared on 9/11 has been renewed every single year by every

---

<sup>33</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200325225606/https://www.foxbusiness.com/lifestyle/what-is-martial-law>

single president since then. So, there is a state of emergency, which some researchers, like Peter Dale Scott - who knows what he's talking about - have said<sup>34</sup> that essentially the Constitution has been null and void since that point. There has been a martial law scenario in place in the United States that has been operating. But that sounds like conspiracy theory! If that was the case, surely we would know about it, wouldn't we? Oh, would we?

So, exactly what has and has not the president declared? What exactly is his authority in a situation of emergency anyway? Actually, that's classified. And don't look to conspiracy theorist James Corbett for that take, look to CNN, where Zachary Wolf just reported in the last 24 hours: "What's the full extent of Trump's disaster authority? That's classified, security expert says".<sup>35</sup> And this article cites Elizabeth Goitein, the Co-director of the Liberty and National Security Program at the Brandon Center for Justice, in NY University, who notes that

"According to Goitein, there's no single law giving the federal government authority to lock down the country - but there are secret documents that have never been publicly released giving the President emergency powers."

So, the emergence into the public consciousness of the fact that there are secret orders that Americans are going to be subject to, and presumably already are, although we don't really

---

<sup>34</sup><https://www.globalresearch.ca/war-martial-law-and-the-economic-crisis/23354>

<sup>35</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200319114711/https://us.cnn.com/2020/03/18/politics/coronavirus-white-house-disaster-authority-classified/index.html>



know, because we're not allowed to know even what powers exist, let alone whether or not they've been enacted. So take the public declaration of *this is martial law* for what it's worth, which is not very much, because *there does not need to be such a public declaration* when all of this secret, classified, powers are already at work behind the scenes.

Here's something that we *can* see on the surface. Military-Times.com reports on "Here's the latest National Guard mobilizations by state – more than 3,330 Guardsmen in 28 states".<sup>36</sup>

But for a more in-depth look at what is coming we can look at articles like this one: "Coronavirus vs. Constitution: What can government stop you from doing in a pandemic?".<sup>37</sup> And although this is obviously from the Sacramento Bee - it is not a thorough-going exploration of the years of considered legislative framework and pandemic response plans and drills and secret documents that we've been going through today - let alone in episode 86 of this podcast - but it does give you some sense of what's going on right now.

"Public closures, a ban on gatherings, quarantine notices and orders for isolation have become increasingly common as the coronavirus continues to spread across the United States.

Officials in Washington state<sup>38</sup> and San Francisco

---

<sup>36</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200321052941/https://www.militarytimes.com/news/coronavirus/2020/03/18/heres-the-latest-national-guard-mobilizations-by-state/>

<sup>37</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200313010454/https://www.sacbee.com/news/nation-world/national/article241106636.html>

<sup>38</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200313010454/https://www.governor.wa.gov/news-media/inslee-issues-emergency->

are limiting the number of people<sup>39</sup> allowed to attend public gatherings.”

Again, this is already outdated information.

”The governor of California joined them on Thursday in urging the cancellation of all events with more than 250 people in attendance.”

Oh, what a difference a week can make.

”But if it seems these actions are infringing on individual freedoms guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution, think again.

”You don’t have a right to assemble against the backdrop of known public health risk,” James G. Hodge told McClatchy News.”

Oh, okay, I see. Oh, there’s a public health risk that’s been declared by authority? Alright, all bets off the table, everything’s scrapped. That was what this country was founded on? That’s what the fought and died for? Just for some president to come along and declare an emergency and everything is off the table. And please note that whatever takes place in the coming weeks, *this is the precedent that will be used in the future*, and even if you agree 100% with what is happening right now, that there is a real, known, public health risk that is threatening people’s

---

proclamation-limits-large-events-minimize-public-health-risk

<sup>39</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200313010454/https://sfmayor.org/article/san-francisco-issues-moratorium-large-gatherings-1000-or-more-slow-spread-covid-19>

lives, and that it's absolutely necessary for the government to invoke all these powers and take all your privileges and rights away... well, that will happen again. And again. And again. And imagine - just imagine - if some duplicitous leader, somehow or other, got into some position of authority and used this pandemic panic button, or any other button in the emergency-button room, to invoke these powers for their own political gain or purposes. Could that ever happen?

More pieces on this puzzle that we can put together at this point: Politico reported last week on how "America's national security machine stares down a viral threat",<sup>40</sup> noting how the National Security Council is coordinating the White House's response to the pandemic, and has been in charge of it since mid January. They are coordinating with the intelligence agencies and presumably with the military as well, on emergency plans for what is coming.

"NSC officials have been coordinating behind the scenes with the intelligence and defense communities to gauge the threat and prepare for the possibility that the U.S. government will have to respond to much bigger numbers—and soon."

And we also get this glimpse, just in the last couple of days, from SeattleTimes.com in an article that was immediately scrubbed by Facebook as part of their "bug", which is having a little trouble censoring the right "misinformation" in this coronavirus "infodemic." The article's titled "U.S. government, tech

---

<sup>40</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200314105536/https://www.politico.com/news/2020/03/12/america-national-security-viral-threat-126574>

industry discussing ways to harness location data to combat coronavirus”.<sup>41</sup>

”The U.S. government is in active talks with Facebook, Google and a wide array of tech companies and health experts about how they can use data gleaned from Americans’ phones to combat the novel coronavirus, including tracking whether people are keeping one another at safe distances to stem the outbreak.”

That’s right, the little slave device you’re carrying around in your pocket is giving your location data at all times to the Big Tech companies that we know, on the record, are collaborating and coordinating with the US government in all sorts of programs, above and under the table, like the Prism Program, to give that data to the government so it can track your movements... only for the sake of public health, of course! Only because there’s a pandemic spreading - so they *need* to know exactly where you’ve been, who you’ve talked to, how far apart you are keeping from those people, whether you’re complying with isolation orders, and all of that can be done electronically, technologically, by the thing that you yourself are carrying around with you at all times, of your own free will. You’re doing this of your own free will - there’s no government authority being posed upon you, right, citizen? This isn’t martial law...<sup>42</sup>

---

<sup>41</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200317221540/https://www.seattletimes.com/business/technology/u-s-government-tech-industry-discussing-ways-to-harness-location-data-to-combat-coronavirus/>

<sup>42</sup>Sarcasm.

Of course, again, this is not just the US, it's happening this way all around the world. "Israel Joins Totalitarian States Using Coronavirus To Spy On Citizens",<sup>43</sup> reports The American Conservative, which has an interesting take on this:

"Israel's internal security service, the Shin Bet, will be allowed to use personal cell phone data and information such as which cell tower a device pings to, to retroactively track the movements of carriers of the "coronavirus in order to see with whom they interacted in the days and weeks before they were tested in order to place those people in quarantine," reports The Times of Israel."

So, again, look at the power that is now being taken completely for granted, simply having been in the vicinity, or having one of your devices be in the vicinity of the device of someone who has then been declared - by a faulty test that, as we know, is not worth the paper it's written on<sup>44</sup> - to have been a carrier of this virus. You can then be quarantined, and you cannot assemble in groups of more than 10 people, and you cannot do all of these things that would be necessary to any sort of resistance to any sort of governmental measure are now systematically being scrapped and stripped from the public. Not to widespread approbation, but to *cheers* from the public. "Yay! Let's get those damn quarantine evaders!" "He was called a terrorist, a quarantine evader, so he must be a bad guy!"

---

<sup>43</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200318170536/https://www.theamericanconservative.com/articles/israel-joins-totalitarian-states-in-using-coronavirus-to-spy-on-its-citizens/>

<sup>44</sup><https://theconsciousresistance.com/the-pcr-deception/>

If anyone fails to see how this power could possibly be abused, it blows my mind that the leaders of valiant resistance, the democrats, who absolutely despise Trump and everything he stands for, want to give him the power to declare anyone he wants a potential carrier of coronavirus and thus subject to indefinite detention at the hands of the military if need be.

Absolutely crazy, and it's playing out in Israel as well. Of course, The American Conservative frames this as Israel joining Iran in China in focusing on the powerful intelligence gathering tools that the state uses on its own citizens. But it's interesting that this report from Barbara Boland was obviously written shortly before she saw the report from the Seattle Times admitting that the US government is doing the exact same thing as well. So it's not just Iran, China and Israel. Every country that can do so is presumably looking into this right now.

More pieces in the trail, for example, in Britain. From News.sky.com: "Coronavirus: Thousands of armed forces staff could be put on standby over COVID-19 spread".<sup>45</sup>.

More than 10,000 British soldiers, sailors and airmen could be put on standby in the coming weeks as the coronavirus crisis worsens.

Officials have been drawing up plans for weeks and are now ready to submit proposals to the prime minister.

The plans, codenamed Operation Broadshare according to army sources, were originally due a few

---

<sup>45</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200316110356/https://news.sky.com/story/coronavirus-more-than-10-000-armed-forces-staff-put-on-standby-11958144>

weeks ago but have been delayed so they can be altered to reflect the rapid spread of the virus.

So, yes, the military is being prepared for its role in helping out with this disaster response. And here comes Canada as well, my home and native land, which has been trending in the news recently, about the "Emergencies Act," previously known as the War Measures Act: "Coronavirus: How the Emergencies Act could help Canada's struggling economy".<sup>46</sup>

The Emergencies Act received Royal Assent in 1988, replacing the War Measures Act. It was created to provide a legal framework for power to be temporarily consolidated with the prime minister and cabinet to issue executive orders during national emergencies, like COVID-19.

It has only ever been invoked three times in Canada: during the first and second World Wars, as well as during the October Crisis of 1970, when members of the Front de Libération du Québec abducted then-provincial Deputy Premier Pierre Laporte and British diplomat James Cross.

And, of course, there's always that infamous clip of Pierre Elliot Trudeau, the presumed father of the current Canadian prime minister, answering to "how far will you take this?" - "Just watch me" - invoking the Emergencies Act and martial law in Canada in 1970. Well, now we have the son invoking

---

<sup>46</sup><https://web.archive.org/web/20200317230218/https://globalnews.ca/news/6690293/coronavirus-emergencies-act-canada/>

that specter here in 2020 to combat a very different threat - one that nonetheless is threatening to shake the foundations of our entire planet, etc.

This report comes from GlobalNews: "Coronavirus: how the emergencies act may help Canada's struggling economy." But it's not just the emergencies act, as previous Corbett report guest, Dan Dicks, at [pressForTruth.ca](http://pressForTruth.ca), has pointed out: there's another act that may come into play in this crisis: the Quarantine Act.

**Reporter interviewing Pierre Trudeau in 1970:** "How far would you go with that? How far would you extend that?"

**Pierre Trudeau:** Just watch me.

**Reporter:** At reducing civil liberties? To that extent?

**Pierre Trudeau:** To what extent?

**Reporter:** Well, if you extend this and say you're gonna do anything to protect them, would that include wiretapping and reducing other civil liberties in some ways?

**Pierre Trudeau:** Yes, I think the society must take every means at its disposal to defend itself against the... eh... emergence of a vile power which defies the elected power in this country. And I think that goes to *any* distance, so long as there is a power in here which is challenging the elected representative of the people, I think that power must



be stopped, and I think it's only weak-kneed bleeding hearts who are afraid to take these measures.

**Dan Dicks, reporting in 2020:** *"Weak-kneed bleeding hearts who are afraid to take these measures."* That, ladies and gentlemen, is Pierre Elliot Trudeau, the father of Justin Trudeau, who back in the 70s had just enacted the War Measures Act, which is now known as the Emergencies Act. Here it is, folks, and right now - today it just so happens to be trending on Twitter. We see "Emergencies Act" and "Emergency Measures Act" trending on Twitter because today Trudeau announced they may now have new emergency measures under consideration. So this has a lot of people talking about what he potentially means, that when it comes to enacting this act, which is why it's trending on Twitter.

But today I want to bring to your attention another act, which I think is of utmost importance, as it's largely going under the radar and completely missed by everyone. This is what should be trending, instead of Emergencies Act. It's this form, ladies and gentlemen: the Quarantine Act. Which archived Royal Assent back in 2005, following the SARS outbreak, and this is the one that would give the Canadian government the ability to enact all-out martial law and to completely obliterate the rights of Canadian citizens.

So in this video we're gonna be taking a close look

at just what kind of things the Quarantine Act is gonna give the Canadian government the ability to do to you and me under this COVID-19 pandemic”

The link to that video<sup>47</sup> will be in the shownotes so you can get the full report from Dan Dicks about the Quarantine Act and how that may be used and invoked in the situation in Canada, but I hope what I’ve put on the table so far has at least set the table so that we do understand that this is happening all around the world right now.

Military responses of various sorts, the type of martial law response is being readied in country after country. And it will look different and be implemented differently in different countries but it is on the table and it is being readied now. And it is important to understand that what’s about to happen is essentially a PR campaign for martial law. It is the softening of the public to the idea of martial law, because the most likely scenario for the unfolding of this martial law crackdown will not be army officers with guns pointed at your faces shooting people’s heads off in plain sight, it will be the friendly cuddly wonderful army coming along and making sure the distributing food or whatever it is in crisis situations. And, yes, helping to enforce quarantines or what have you. That is the propaganda coup for the martial law planners that could be about to take place, and that actually is the nightmare scenario. Because people who have been warning about the dangers of martial law and martial law scenarios, who have been called crazy conspiracy theorists for thinking the government could ever bring in the military to be involved in day-to-day policing will now say that of course we need the military here, and of course

---

<sup>47</sup>[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CDfn\\_zJxviE](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CDfn_zJxviE)

it's gonna be sunshine and rainbows ween it happens. And the absolute nightmare scenario, if it is done and there is hunker down, and we have to get through this, and weeks or months or however long later it's lifted, what a relief, everything's better again. Alright, so it wasn't so bad. I guess those powers are good, and every time there's a declared emergency, now that precedent has been set and the switch is a lot easier to switch on now, isn't it.

That will happen, and that is scary. Because it does undermine the argument against martial law. Why are you so scared of this, you crazy conspiracy theorist? This is an interesting propaganda phenomenon that I've noted before. In fact, most recently in a conversation<sup>48</sup> which was just recorded with Charlie Robinson for a program that he does for Ickonic, that helps understand what is happening, and the propaganda coup that could be taking place right under our nose.

**Charlie Robinson:** Well, we in the alternative media / conspiracy research worlds have talked about martial law for a long, long time. It's this theory, this thing out there, it's what happens in war-torn countries, in WWII, it's abstract to us.

**Charlie Robinson:** But you've put out a new video talking about medical martial law. And it struck me that that might be the most realistic form of martial law, because it order for overt martial law to take hold, you think of troops on the streets with guns telling you that you have to stay inn your hours. But, as we know, the best form of censorship is self-censorship. So the best form of f mar-

---

<sup>48</sup><https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3uCJEON0J1A>

tial law is self-martial-law, where you quarantine yourself. And it seems that the medical component to this is, x if you were an evil genius sitting in your bat cave thinking about a way to control the population, you'd think, well, I want them to control themselves. They couldn't devise a better way to do it than a medical pandemic. For them it is just the blueprint for us demanding our own self-isolation, is it not?

**James Corbett:** Exactly right, and it's almost like they did engineer this. What a crazy thought that would be, you crazy conspiracy theorist!

**Charlie Robinson:** I'll put my tinfoil hat on...

**James Corbett:** Yes... exactly. Well, I have no definitive proof on that one, it *seems* quite likely to me that, assuming this is really spreading and it really is a thing (and even that has question marks over it) but assuming it is, it would seem most likely to me that this is some sort of engineered virus of some sort that may have escaped by accident, it may have been unleashed on purpose, again, I don't know and I'm not gonna be speculating. But certainly it is almost like this is a great scenario for any would-be authoritarian that wants to flex the martial law muscle.

Because this is kind of the nightmare scenario for people who are still concerned about what still remains of civil liberties in our age. Even saying it seems almost like what are you talking about, that sounds so pre-9//11. Well, this is the new 9/11.

They're gonna take even more away from the liberties that the people have been enjoying hitherto.

And precisely because it is coming off the back of this concern that is being psychologically driven into the public to make them demand, to clamor for their own enslavement to a certain extent.

And this is a phenomenon that I've noted before on the podcast, in fact you said this is a new video that I release about medical martial law. It is a new video, but it's basically the audio of a podcast that I did 11 years ago, during the swine flu hype of 2009, remember that?

And I was noting at that time, that even then, for several years up to that point they'd been laying various legislative proposals and things for instituting medical martial law in the event of a certain medical scenario, like the public/state health emergency act, whatever the exact name of that is. But the model law that they crafted in the wake of 9/11, during the anthrax scare, that then they started passing in state legislature after state legislature all around the US, in order to basically codify and make sure that they had this emergency powers that they're now invoking.

These things can take decades. Some times it will be the infrastructure, the legislative framework will be laid decades in advance, and suddenly once that flip is switched, suddenly people are like I didn't know this even existed! And yes, it's been there for decades, and some people have

been warning about it.

In fact, I know with some bemusement that I think the second or third most popular video that I've ever done on my YouTube channel, over 2M views, I believe, was about martial law. About the "US Army prepares to invade US", a video that I made in 2008, before the 2008 (s)election circle played out. And it was talking about the moves that have been made through decades, Reagan, Clinton, Bush 1, Bush 2, all of these regimes had implemented different variations on setting the framework for martial law, preparing for it, doing martial law exercises, training US troops for it.

I did that video and found it amazing that that video really did go viral very early on. I had maybe 1000 YouTube subscribers at that point but getting hundreds of thousands of views on that video - precisely because democrats and people on the left-side of the spectrum thought that I was saying that Bush is gonna do martial law to try to lock it down so that he'll continue on in the presidency. But no, I was specifically pointing out that it was Clinton *and* Bush, Left *and* Right, they both do this, because all authoritarians want this structure in place.

And, as you say, medical martial law is the most insidious way to do it because it *will have popular support*. This is a point that I tried to make before, and I think people are incredulous about. When martial law arrives, most people will be cheering

for it. They will not be jeering. And precisely because we're now being conditioned with the stories of "this man was diagnosed and then he went out shopping, so they had to lock in in his home," and everyone's like "yeah, lock that bastard up!" We're being *trained* right now with stories like that, and you'd better believe that there's an agenda to reporting on things like that, and in that way, to get people on board with the idea of medical martial law.

And my nightmare scenario, that I almost hate to put out into the world, because I don't like to put these ideas out there although I know that the would-be social planners already have them in mind, is that what we're looking at could be the absolute ideal, perfect way to implant the martial law idea in the public's mind. Because, imagine this, this scenario: the viral freak-out right now, from what we know, is 100% overblown. Yes, sure, if you're an octogenarian with a lung condition, definitely stay safe and all of that. I'm not trying to downplay that. Some people will get sick, some people will die, but this is not the Black Death. This is not the end of the world, at least from what we've seen so far, unless there's some second wave to this, that's been bioengineered, I don't know about that. But from what we've seen so far, this is obviously overblown.

So, imagine this. Imagine we go into martial law lockdown scenario, National Guard out, with stories about this martial law National Guard soldiers

making sure people stay in their homes, and they deliver the food and whatever - there was this emergency over there but they took care of it... and then, after a few weeks of this, or however long it is, enough to get people conditioned to it, the veil is lifted and martial law is over. We've ridden out the storm, the worst is over, suddenly the reporting goes to "this is the Black Death, you're all gonna die" to "okay, it's still here but it's under control, we got it taken care of."

Imagine that scenario plays out, and everyone who now tries to say "martial law" and "they're coming" "troops are gonna be on the streets"... oh, silly conspiracy theorist, there was martial law and it was *fine*. Imagine that scenario playing out, because that will be the ultimate, 100% the greatest propaganda coup for the authoritarians there could ever be. "Yeah, martial law happened and it was OK, we had to hunker down but we all stuck through it and it was alright, and in the end it went away and everything's better again." So they have these martial law powers on the books, and it's happened before, the precedent is set, it's there forever, it's a power they can take at any time, as soon as they drum up the fear into the public the people will dutifully lock themselves in.

It's a propaganda coup that will be taking place right now, and i fear to see that, because our ability to get people concerned about the very real loss of fundamental liberties that is taking place right now will be vastly undermined. They'll cut the legs



out of all of us "conspiracy realists" who have been warning about this for years and will want to warn people about how this power could be abused. It's a horrific situation because it works so well for the propagandists and the authoritarians."

The very first step in solving any problem is to admit that there is a problem. And usually you wouldn't think that that's very difficult to do. But it is nonetheless a fact that at any time of great crisis or adversity, a trick that your own brain will pull on you in order to give you a false sense of security is to *normalize the situation*. "There's no crisis, there's no emergency, everything will go back to normal in a few weeks and we'll continue on with our lives as they were before." Do not normalize what is happening here. This is not normal.

Medical martial law, troops on the street, internal passports and checkpoints, orders to shelter in place, permission to leave your home, enforced quarantines and isolation, businesses closures, nationalization of industry, collapse of economies, this is not normal. This is a crisis and we have to recognize it as such. The calm, rational, measured, response to finding out that your house is on fire is not to sit there and pontificate and wonder what things will be like a few hours from now, no! It is to get out of the house and start pouring water on that fire.

You have to do something, but the very first step is to realize that there is a problem. Do not normalize, do not give into the temptation to normalize the absolute craziness that is happening right now. Realize that this is the perfectly logical end result of decades of carefully laid infrastructure for the implementation of a medical martial law situation, and what is happening right now are powers being accrued by authoritarians that will never be relinquished. Once these powers are put in place and

the precedent is there, even if the emergency is released and everything goes back to "normal" a few weeks from now, it will be a "New Normal," in which every authoritarian at any time will be able to press the panic button to cause pandemonium in the public and to reclaim all of these "emergency," "temporary" measures that are being claimed right now.

This is a big deal and we do need to be concerned about it. The very first step, as I say, is to admit that there is a problem. The second step, once you understand that there is a problem is to inform yourself about that problem - where it came from and what you can do about it. And on that note, there's going to be lots of notes in the shownotes for you to go through, lots of links to articles and videos and other things that will help to further inform you about this very important time that we're living through.

And, as always, one of the greatest resources that we have is *each other*. Which is why I wholeheartedly exhort the Corbett Report community to come together. Please, Corbett Report members, leave your experience, thoughts, observation, links and research in the comment section at CorbettReport.com. We need to learn our way forward on this together. But it all starts from admitting that this is a crisis. There is an emergency situation happening right now. And the calm and rational measured response to an emergency situation that is happening around you *is to let others know there is a problem here*. Do not normalize this, this is not normal. We're heading into medical martial law.

On that note, I'm going to leave it here today, but obviously no single podcast can encapsulate all of the crazy information that is pouring forth through the news feeds on an hourly, if not minutely basis at this point. So, Obviously we will be re-

turning to various aspects of this topic in the weeks to come, including the economic aspects of this agenda that is playing out, the geopolitical aspects, and of course the creation of the technocratic state that I've been warning about for many years now. And I hope you'll be here for that exploration, because this is extremely important information. We're gonna leave it here today. I'm James Corbett, of CorbettReport.com, looking forward to talking to you in the near future.